

Covid Is Genocide - A Biological Warfare Crime - Dr. David Martin Speaks To The European Parliament

0:01

foreign

0:14

it is a

0:16

it is a particularly interesting

0:19

location for me to be sitting today

0:21

given that

0:24

over a decade ago I sat in this very

0:26

chair right here in the European Union

0:28

Parliament

0:30

and at that time I warned the world of

0:32

what was coming

0:35

during that conversation that was hosted

0:38

at the time by the green and EFA and a

0:42

number of the other parties of the

0:43

European unions various representations

0:46

we were having a conversation on whether

0:48

Europe

0:49

should adopt the United States policy of

0:54

allowing for the patents on biologically

0:56

derived materials

0:58

and at the time I urged this body and I

1:03

urged people around the world

1:05

that the weaponization of nature Against

1:07

Humanity had dire consequences

1:12

tragically I sit here today

1:15

with that unfortunate line that I don't

1:18

like to say which I told you so

1:22

but the fact of the matter is we're here

1:24

not for a reprisal on past decisions

1:29

we're here to actually once again come

1:33

to the face of the human condition and

1:36

ask the question who do we want to be

1:39

what do we want Humanity to look like

1:42

and rather than seeing this as an

1:44

exercise in futility which is very easy

1:46

from time to time when you're in the

1:49

position I'm in

1:51

I actually see this not as an exercise

1:53

in futility I see this as one of the

1:55

greatest opportunities that faces us

1:57

because we now have a public

1:58

conversation

2:00

which is now front and center in

2:01

people's minds when this was an esoteric

2:03

conversation about biological patents

2:06

nobody cared

2:08

but when that conversation came home

2:11

then it became something people can care

2:13

about

2:14

so I'm actually quite grateful for this

2:16

opportunity I thank the members of

2:18

parliament for hosting this I thank all

2:21

of the translators who I apologize in

2:23

advance I will use terminology that is

2:25

probably very difficult to translate so

2:27

my apologies

2:28

I'd also like to acknowledge the fact

2:31

that many of you are aware of my

2:33

involvement with this in large part due

2:36

to the amazing work of my wonderful wife

2:38

Kim Martin

2:40

who encouraged me at the very early days

2:42

of this pandemic to get on front of the

2:44

camera and talk about all the

2:47

information that I had been sharing

2:48

among very small groups around the world

2:52

and it was in fact her encouragement

2:54

that put me in a place where many of you

2:57

have heard what I have to say

3:00

ironically the world that I came from

3:03

that used to be very popular my CNBC and

3:06

Bloomberg presentations which were

3:08

televised on mainstream media around the

3:10

world was an audience that I lost I I

3:13

can confidently say covid diminished my

3:15

fame

3:17

but I can also confidently say that I'd

3:19

rather stand among the people with whom

3:21

I'm standing today than any of the folks

3:25

that were part of that previous world so

3:28

this is a much better place to be my

3:30

role today is to set the stage for this

3:32

conversation in a historical context

3:35

because this did not come in the last

3:37

three years this did not come in the

3:39

last five or six years this actually is

3:42

an ongoing question that probably began

3:45

here in Europe in the early stages of

3:47

the

3:48

mid-1900s but certainly by 1913 1914

3:53

this conversation started right here in

3:56

Central Europe

3:58

the pandemic that we alleged to have

4:01

happen in the last few years also did

4:04

not happen overnight

4:06

in fact the very specific pandemic using

4:09

coronavirus began in a very different

4:12

time

4:13

and we'll try to advance the slides here

4:15

with

4:17

one of these things

4:20

up there we go

4:23

most of you don't know that coronavirus

4:26

as a model of a pathogen was isolated in

4:30

1965.

4:33

coronavirus was identified in 1965 as

4:36

one of the first infectious

4:40

replicable viral models that could be

4:43

used to modify a series of other

4:46

experiences of human condition it was

4:48

isolated Once Upon a Time associated

4:51

with the common cold

4:53

but what's particularly interesting

4:54

about its isolation in 1965 was that it

4:58

was immediately identified as a pathogen



5:00

that could be used and modified for a

5:03

whole host of reasons and you heard me

5:05

correctly that was 1965 and by the way

5:07

these slides are public domain you're

5:10

welcome to look at every single

5:12

reference every comment that I made is

5:14

based on published material so do make

5:17

sure that you look at those references

5:19

but in 1966 the very first COV

5:24

coronavirus model was used as a

5:27

transatlantic biological experiment in

5:30

human manipulation and you heard the

5:32

date 1966.

5:36

I hope you're getting the point of what

5:38

I'm saying this is not an overnight

5:40

thing this is actually something that's

5:42

been long in the making

5:45

a year before I was born we had the

5:48

first transatlantic coronavirus data

5:51

sharing experiment between the United

5:53

States and the United Kingdom and in

5:56

1967 the year I was born we did the

5:59

first human trials on inoculating people

6:02

with modified Coronavirus

6:06

amazing 56 years ago the overnight

6:10

success of a pathogen that's been 56

6:13

years in engineering

6:16

and I want that to chill with all of you

6:19

where were we when we actually allowed

6:22

in violation of biological and chemical

6:24

weapons treaties where were we

6:27

as a human civilization when we thought

6:29

it was an acceptable thing to do to take

6:31

a pathogen for the United States and

6:33

infect the world with it

6:35

where was that conversation and what

6:37

should have been that conversation in

6:39

1967.

6:43

that conversation wasn't had

6:46

ironically the common cold was turned

6:49

into a chimera in the 1970s

6:53

and in 1975 1976 and 1977 we started

6:57

figuring out how to modify coronavirus

7:00

by putting it into different animals

7:02

pigs and dogs

7:05

and not surprisingly by the time we got

7:08

to 1990 we found out that coronavirus as

7:11

a infectious agent was an industrial

7:14

problem for two primary Industries the

7:17

industries of dogs and pigs

7:20

dog breeders and pigs found that

7:22

coronavirus created gastrointestinal

7:24

problems and that became the basis for

7:27

Pfizer's first Spike protein vaccine

7:30

Patent filed are you ready for this in

7:32

1990

7:35

did you hear what I just said

7:37

1990 operation warp speed I'm sorry

7:42

where's the warp and the speed

7:45

Pfizer 1990 the very first Spike protein

7:49

vaccine for Coronavirus

7:52

foreign

7:53

isn't that fascinating

7:55

isn't it fascinating that we were we

7:58

were told that well the spike protein is

8:00

a new thing we just found out that

8:01

that's the problem no

8:04

as a matter of fact we didn't just find

8:05

out it was not just now now the problem

8:08

we found that out in 1990 and filed the

8:11

first patents on vaccines in 1990 for

8:13

the spike protein of Coronavirus

8:17

and who would have thought Pfizer

8:20

clearly the innocent organization that

8:22

does nothing but promote human health

8:25

clearly Pfizer the organization that has

8:28

not bought the votes in this chamber and

8:30

at every chamber of every government

8:32

around the world not that Pfizer

8:34

certainly they wouldn't have had

8:36

anything to do with this

8:38

but oh yes they did

8:41

and in 1990 they found out that there

8:43

was a problem with vaccines

8:46

they didn't work

8:51

you know why they didn't work

8:54

it turns out the coronavirus is a very

8:57

malleable model it transforms and it

8:59

changes and it mutates over time as a

9:01

matter of fact every publication on

9:03

vaccines for Coronavirus from 1990 until

9:07

2018

9:08

every single publication concluded that

9:12

coronavirus escapes the vaccine impulse

9:17

because it modifies and mutates too

9:20

quickly for vaccines to be effective and

9:23

since 1990 to 2018 that is the published

9:27

science ladies and gentlemen that's

9:30

following the science

9:31

following the science is their own

9:34

indictment of their own programs that

9:36

said it doesn't work

9:40

and there are thousands of Publications

9:42

to that effect not a few hundred

9:44

and not paid for by pharmaceutical

9:46

companies these are Publications that

9:49

are independent scientific research that

9:51

shows unequivocally including efforts of

9:55

the Chimera modifications made by Ralph

9:57

Barrick in the University of North

9:58

Carolina Chapel Hill all of them show

10:00

vaccines do not work on Coronavirus

10:05

that's the science

10:07

and that science has never been disputed

10:11

but then we had an interesting

10:12

development in 2002 and this date is



10:15

most important

10:17

because in 2002 the University of North

10:19

Carolina Chapel Hill patented and I

10:21

quote an infectious replication

10:23

defective clone of Coronavirus

10:27

listen to those words

10:29

infectious replication defective what

10:32

does that phrase actually mean

10:35

for those of you not familiar with

10:37

language

10:39

let me unpack it for you

10:41

infectious replication defective means a

10:45

weapon

10:49

it means something meant to Target an

10:51

individual but not have collateral

10:54

damage to other individuals that's what

10:56

infectious replication defective means

11:00

and that patent was filed in 2002 on

11:04

work funded by niaid's Anthony fauci

11:07

from 1999 to 2002 and that work patented

11:11

at the University of North Carolina

11:12

Chapel Hill mysteriously preceded SARS

11:15

1.0

11:17

by a year oh

11:19

Dave are you suggesting that SARS 1.0

11:24

wasn't from a wet Market in Wuhan

11:27

are you suggesting it might have come

11:29

from a laboratory

11:31

in the University of North Carolina

11:32

Chapel Hill no I'm not suggesting it I'm

11:35

telling you that's the facts

11:39

we engineered SARS

11:42

SARS is not a naturally occurring

11:44

phenomenon the naturally occurring

11:46

phenomenon is called the common cold

11:48

it's called influenza-like illness it's

11:51

called gastroenteritis that's the

11:53

naturally occurring Coronavirus

11:55

SARS

11:56

is the research developed by humans

11:59

weaponizing a life system model to

12:03

actually attack human beings and they

12:05

patented it in 2002.

12:11

[Applause]

12:15

and in 2003 giant surprise the CDC filed

12:19

the patent on coronavirus isolated from

12:21

humans in violation once again of

12:24

biological and chemical weapons treaties

12:26

and laws that we have in the United

12:27

States and I'm very very precise on this

12:29

the United States likes to talk about

12:31

its rights and everything else and the

12:32

rule of law and all the nonsense that we

12:34

like to talk about

12:35

but we don't ratify treaties about I

12:38

don't know defending humans

12:40

we conspicuously avoid that we actually

12:42

have a great track record of advocating

12:44

for human rights and then denying them

12:46

when it comes to actually being part of

12:48

the International Community which is a

12:49

slightly problematic thing

12:52

but let's get something very clear

12:54

when the CDC in April of 2003 filed the

12:58

patent on SARS coronavirus isolated from

13:00

humans what did they do they downloaded

13:03

a sequence from China

13:07

and filed a patent on it in the United

13:08

States

13:10

any of you familiar with biological and

13:12

chemical weapons treaties knows that's a

13:13

violation

13:14

that's a crime

13:16

that's not an innocent oops that's a

13:19

crime and the United States patent

13:22

office went as far as to reject that

13:23

patent application on two occasions

13:25

until the CDC decided to bribe the

13:28

patent office to override the patent

13:30

examiner to ultimately issue the patent

13:32

in 2007 on SARS coronavirus but let's

13:36

not let that get away from us because it

13:38

turns out that the rtPCR

13:40

which was the test that we allegedly

13:42

were going to use to identify the risks

13:45

associated with Coronavirus was actually

13:48

identified as a bioterrorism threat by

13:50

me in the European union-sponsored

13:53

events in 2002 and 2003.

13:56

20 years ago

13:59

that happened here in Brussels and

14:02

across Europe

14:04

in 2005 this particular pathogen was

14:07

specifically labeled as a bio terrorism

14:10

and bioweapon platform technology

14:14

described as such that's not my

14:16

terminology that I'm applying to it it

14:18

was actually described as a bioweapons

14:20

platform technology in 2005.

14:24

and from 2005 onwards it was actually a

14:28

bio Warfare enabling agent its official

14:31

classification from 2005 forward I don't

14:34

know if that sounds like public health

14:35

to you

14:36

does it

14:38

biological warfare enabling technology

14:41

that feels like not Public Health that

14:45

feels like not medicine that feels like

14:47

a weapon designed to take out Humanity

14:50

that's what it feels like and it feels

14:52

like that because that's exactly what it

14:54

is

14:55

we have been lured into believing that

14:58

Eco Health Alliance and DARPA and all of

15:00

these organizations are what we should

15:02

be pointing to but we've been



15:03

specifically requested to ignore the

15:06

facts that over 10 billion dollars have

15:11

been funneled through Black Operations

15:12

through the check of Anthony fauci and a

15:15

side-by-side Ledger where niaid has a

15:18

balance sheet and next to it is a

15:21

biodefense balance sheet equivalent

15:23

dollar for dollar matching that no one

15:26

in the media talks about

15:28

and it's been going on since 2005.

15:33

our gain of function moratorium the

15:35

moratorium That was supposed to freeze

15:37

any efforts to do gain a function

15:40

research conveniently in the fall of

15:42

2014 the University of North Carolina

15:44

Chapel Hill received a letter from niid

15:47

saying that while the gain of function

15:49

moratorium on coronavirus in Vivo should

15:53

be suspended because their grants had

15:56

already been funded they received an

15:58

exemption

16:00

did you hear what I just said

16:02

a biological weapons lab facility at the

16:05

University of North Carolina Chapel Hill

16:06

received an exemption from the gain of

16:09

function moratorium so that by 2016 we

16:12

could publish the the journal article

16:15

that said SARS coronavirus is poised for

16:19

human emergence

16:20

in 2016 and what you might ask Dave was

16:27

the coronavirus poised for human

16:28

emergence it was w i v one

16:34

Wuhan Institute of virology virus one

16:40

poised for human emergence in

16:44

2016. at the proceedings of the National

16:47

Academy of Sciences such that by the

16:50

time we get to 2017 and 2018 the

16:53

following phrase entered into common

16:55

parlance among the community

16:58

there is going to be an accidental or

17:01

intentional release of a respiratory

17:04

pathogen

17:05

the operative word obviously in that

17:07

phrase the word release

17:11

does that sound like leak

17:13

does that sound like a bat and a

17:15

Pangolin went into a bar in the Wuhan

17:17

market and hung out and had sex and and

17:20

lo and behold we got SARS cov2 no

17:25

accidental or intentional release of a

17:28

respiratory pathogen was the terminology

17:30

used and four times in April of 2019.

17:35

seven months before the allegation of

17:38

patient number one four patent

17:40

applications of modern were modified to

17:42

include the term accidental or

17:45

intentional release of a respiratory

17:47

pathogen as the justification for making

17:49

a vaccine for a thing that did not exist

17:54

keep going

17:59

if you have not done so please make sure

18:02

that you make reference in every

18:04

investigation to the premeditation

18:05

nature of this because it was in

18:08

September of 2019 that the world was

18:10

informed

18:12

that we were going to have an accident

18:14

or intentional release of a respiratory

18:15

pathogen so that by September 2020 there

18:19

would be a worldwide acceptance of a

18:21

universal vaccine template that's their

18:23

words right in front of you on the

18:25

screen

18:26

the intent was to get the world to

18:29

accept a universal vaccine template and

18:31

the intent was to use coronavirus to get

18:33

there

18:34

and the last slide

18:37

this is an advancing so if I could have

18:39

somebody to do it

18:41

let's let's read this because we have to

18:44

read this into the record everywhere I

18:46

go

18:48

until an infectious disease crisis is

18:51

very real present and at the emergency

18:52

threshold that is often largely ignored

18:55

to sustain the funding base beyond the

18:58

crisis he said we need to increase the

19:00

public understanding for the need for

19:02

medical countermeasures such as a pan

19:03

influenza or pan coronavirus vaccine a

19:06

key driver is the media and the

19:09

economics will follow the hype

19:12

we need to use that hype to our

19:14

advantage to get to the real issues

19:16

investors will respond if they see

19:19

profit at the end of the process

19:21

sounds like Public Health

19:24

sounds like the best of humanity no

19:27

ladies and gentlemen this was

19:28

premeditated domestic terrorism stated

19:31

at the proceedings of the National

19:33

Academy of Sciences in 2015 published in

19:35

front of them this is an this is an act

19:38

of biological and chemical warfare

19:40

perpetrated on the human race and it was

19:43

admitted to in writing that this was a

19:46

financial Heist and a financial fraud

19:48

investors will follow if they see profit

19:50

at the end of the process let me

19:52

conclude by making five very brief

19:54

recommendations the last slide

19:58

nature was hijacked

20:01

this whole story started in 1965 when we

20:04

decided to hijack a natural model and

20:06

decide to start manipulating it



20:09

science was hijacked when the only

20:11

questions that could be asked were

20:13

questions authorized under the patent

20:16

protection of the CDC the FDA the NIH

20:18

and their equivalent organizations

20:20

around the world

20:21

we didn't have independent science we

20:24

had hijacked science

20:26

and unfortunately there was no moral

20:28

oversight in violation of all of the

20:30

codes that we stand for there was no

20:32

independent financially disinterested

20:34

independent review board ever impaneled

20:36

around coronavirus not once not once not

20:40

since 1965.

20:43

we do not have a single independent IRB

20:46

ever impaneled

20:47

around coronavirus so morality was

20:51

suspended for medical countermeasures

20:54

and ultimately Humanity was lost because

20:56

we decided to allow it to happen our job

20:59

today is to say no more gain of function

21:02

research period

21:04

no more weaponization of nature period

21:08

foreign

21:10

most importantly

21:12

no more corporate patronage of science

21:15

for their own self-interest unless they

21:18

assume 100 product liability for every

21:22

injury and every death that they

21:23

maintain thank you very much

English (auto-generated)