

The War on Ivermectin: Bret Speaks with Pierre Kory on the Darkhorse Podcast

Bret Weinstein

434K subscribers

Jun 14, 2023

Bret Weinstein's DarkHorse Podcast

View on Rumble: <https://rumble.com/v2u6xpi-the-war-on...>

Bret Speaks with Pierre Kory in the wake of his recent book publication. They discuss the governmental and pharmacological campaign against Ivermectin, an effective prophylactic and treatment measure for Covid-19, and the harm done.

Buy Pierre's book: War on Ivermectin (<https://www.amazon.com/War-Ivermectin...>)

Find Pierre at the FLCCC: <https://covid19criticalcare.com/>

Transcript

0:00

I had no idea when I stood up what was going to happen I literally thought like when you talk about the testimony Brett

0:07

I mean I thought the the science the review that we had done

0:13

was going to be warmly embraced like I actually literally was trembling I felt like Ivermectin would be deployed in

0:20

this systematic prevention and treatment of covid worldwide and that things were going to be different and better and um

0:28

and then my same thing that happened to you I mean my career you know I lost three jobs I'm out of academic medicine

0:34

my academic career is over and I also have a new um a new livelihood and I'm

0:40

actually quite happy about it but the transition was difficult but I didn't know that was going to happen I didn't volunteer for that

0:48

hey folks welcome to the Dark Horse podcast I have the pleasure and honor of

0:55

sitting this morning with Dr Pierre Corey who many of you will know from the covid pandemic writ large and from Dark

1:03

Horse he made a previous appearance on Dark Horse which was taking off like

1:09

wildfire before YouTube removed it and struck our Channel

1:14

and in some sense Pierre I think is it reasonable to say that

1:21

um that podcast allowed you and me to mutually ruin each other's careers

1:26

oh that would be the understatement Brett I think you're I was already in it but you launched me like right onto the

1:33

front lines of the battlefield and you know we've we've taken some hits and suffered some casualties but we're still

1:39

standing yeah yeah we um I would say we we have lived to fight another day uh an

1:45

achievement about which I am quite proud me too and uh it has been an honor to

1:50

fight alongside you Pierre it's it's um you have been one of the the very bright spots in a very dark period of History

1:57

I I don't know it's a very moving statement Brad because it's I know you've been through a lot and in some

2:04

ways I feel like you almost got it worse than I did I mean you you really um I don't know I think you were more well

2:10

known and so there's more people to attack you and yeah it's it's been rough but I wouldn't change it for the world

2:16

and I and I thank you for everything you've done and and we've been we've been just trying to do the right thing that's all well I think I think we both

2:22

uh you know took some heavy fire in our own domains

2:28

I almost not even almost I feel worse for people like Paul Merrick who

2:37

um you know as a colleague of yours who I didn't know until you pointed me in his Direction but you know you clearly

2:45

have you are motivated by your anger at what was done to to patients and to

2:52

Citizens during the pandemic and it activates the fighter in you and you and

2:58

I are a little different in this way but I have a similar reaction to it guys like Paul Merrick I feel you know this

3:05

was a good doctor who he was not supposed to be thrust onto the front

3:10

line of some uh battle in which he would be accused of all kinds of vile things

3:15

right this was a guy who cared deeply about his patience and he needed to be left alone to take care of them

3:22

um so as bad as what happened to you and me is I feel worse for people who didn't

3:28

train for it yeah you know I like kind of you almost contrasted me and Paul and

3:33

I think it actually would be a fair con like you know I fight with my fists and he fights with his heart you know and he

3:39

he's really you know he I think he has a lot of sadness and I he has I think we have

3:45

both have a lot of anger but yeah I think he's more yeah sad and tender about it and I'm

3:51

just really angry our first sponsor for this episode is new to us it is better biome maker of

Sponsors

3:58

knobs nob's it's a new kind of toothpaste and it's fantastic in fact we started

4:04

using this product several months ago and approached them rather than the other way around better biome that's

4:09

biome without the e on the end is a brand that I first found and brought to the rest of my family's attention now we

4:15

are all using their fantastic product every day and can attest that they are telling the truth when they say that

4:20

they are a brand focused on transparency safety and effectiveness let's talk fluoride for a moment

4:28

fluoride is of course the anti-cavity ingredient in most toothpastes that you already know about but as we discuss in

4:34

our book The fluoride that we encounter is not in a molecular form that is found in nature and it has never been a part

4:40

of our diet and Evermore research is pointing to neurotoxicity from fluoride exposure especially in children

4:47

knobs from better biome doesn't contain fluoride but that in itself does not make it unique many oral care products

4:54

are now abandoning fluoride as the research continues to emerge about toxic effects but unlike competitor products

5:00

knobs includes a different and far better re-mineralizing agent knobs uses

5:06

hydroxyapatite hydroxyapatite is the main component of the enamel in your teeth and is in your

5:12

bones as well it has been extensively studied in medicine and dentistry and is as

5:17

effective as fluoride in remineralizing teeth without the toxicity hydroxyapatite doesn't just stop

5:23

cavities from forming it can even arrest tooth decay once it is underway furthermore even most natural

5:30

toothpastes that are free of fluoride still have lots of abrasive ingredients like charcoal baking soda eggshells

5:36

knobs has none of these things knobs also contains no sulfates parabens phthalates microplastics no BS it's

5:44

right there in the name furthermore knobs comes in the form of dehydrated tablets which allows them to

5:51

be shelf stable without any preservatives take a tablet chew it and then brush as you normally would your

5:57

teeth are going to feel fantastically clean because they are also unlike with toothpaste
TSA has no

6:04

interest in knobs because they're tablets so if you're flying with knobs you don't risk
losing it in security

6:11

so check out knobs at www.betterbiome.com

6:17

Darkhorse remember buy them without the e

6:23

b-e-t-t-e-r-b-i-o-m.com slash Darkhorse listeners can enjoy 15 percent off their

6:28

first one month supply of knobs from now until July 17 2023.

6:33

our second sponsor for this episode is House of macadamias trees aren't edible

6:39

I speak from experience but tree nuts are delicious and nutritious they are generally high
in fat and low in

6:45

carbohydrates and contrary to what various food pyramids and government agencies
might have led you to believe high fat low carb foods are increasingly

6:53

understood to be both satisfying and good for you each species of nut is different
however

6:58

and for many of us macadamias are the best nut there is macadamia nuts take a very
long time to

7:04

grow however and because they are both rare and highly sought after they have the
dubious distinction of being the

7:09

world's most expensive nut between the taste and health benefits though they're worth
it they have even

7:15

fewer carbohydrates than most other nuts for instance half of what cashews or
pistachios have and two-thirds of what

7:21

almonds have which makes them the perfect snack for breaking a daily fast and
controlling blood glucose they're

7:27

also uniquely rich in omega-7s including especially palmitoleic acid and unsaturated fat
that has been linked to

7:34

Natural collagen production fat loss and heart health and House of macadamias is intent on

7:40

making this amazing food accessible to everyone they have partnered with more than 90 farmers in Africa and now make

7:45

one-of-a-kind vegan keto and paleo snacks these include their dark chocolate

7:50

dipped macadamias and a delicious assortment of bars made with 45 macadamia nuts in flavors including

7:57

salted caramel and chocolate coconut but our favorite product of theirs is the simple salted macadamias made with

8:04

namibian sea salt they're amazing we love them and we think you will too House of macadamias also makes a

8:10

delicious macadamia nut oil which is 100 cold pressed rich in monounsaturated

8:15

fatty acids and has a higher smoke point than Olive Oil so it's well suited to high heat cooking and baking and they

8:21

have just introduced delicious nut Butters you can imagine how good those taste our house of academia's Highly

8:28

recommends House of macadamias for all your macadamia needs for a limited time House of macadamias is offering

8:34

listeners a free box of their best seller namibian sea salted macadamia nuts worth 35 bucks with your purchase

8:41

at House of macadamias.com Darkhorse plus twenty percent off your whole order

8:47

with the code Darkhorse once again that's

8:52

www.houseofmacadamias.com use Darkhorse for 20 off your order you won't be sorry

9:00

our final sponsor for this episode is Vivo barefoot shoes made for feet all

9:05

right unless you are driving or operating heavy machinery I want you to try this exercise look down at the ends

9:11

of your legs do you see feet okay then this message is for you everybody should

9:16

try these shoes most shoes are made for someone's idea of feet but not vivos vivos are made by people with feet who

9:23

know how to use them and word is spreading people often approach us because of the vivos that we are wearing

9:29

saying they've heard they're good and they are these shoes are every bit as good as you have heard here at Darkhorse

9:36

we love them they are Beyond comfortable tactile feedback from the surfaces you're walking on is amazing and they

9:42

cause no pain at all because there are no pressure points forcing your feet into odd positions they are fantastic

9:48

our feet are the product of millions of years of evolution humans evolve to walk move and run Barefoot modern shoes that

9:55

are overly cushioned and strangely shaped have negatively impacted foot function and are contributing to a Health crisis people move less than they

10:02

might in part because their shoes make their feet hurt enter Vivo Barefoot Vivo barefoot shoes

10:07

are designed wide to provide natural stability thin to enable you to move more and flexible to help you build your

10:13

natural strength from the ground up foot strength increases by sixty percent in a matter of months just by walking around

10:19

in them vivoba Barefoot has a great range of Footwear for kids and adults and for every activity from hiking to training

10:26

and they have everyday wear they're also a certified B Corp that is pioneering regenerative business principles and

10:32

their Footwear is produced using sustainably sourced natural and recycled materials with the aim to protect the

10:38

natural world so you can run wild upon it go to vivobarefoot.com and use the code

10:44

Darkhorse 15 to get an exclusive 15 off your order additionally all new

10:51

customers get a 100 day free trial so you can see if you love them as much as we do that's Vivo

10:59

b-a-r-e-f-o-o-t.com and use the code darkhorse15 at checkout

11:04

yeah really well I appreciate your anger before we get going here let's just frame this a little bit for people who

Background from 2020/21

11:11

might be uh joining this discussion late who maybe weren't there for the initial

11:17

Dark Horse episode and don't know what we're talking about you came to my attention

11:23

as the most prominent voice I hate to use the word advocating

11:30

because advocacy is not the role of a doctor nor of a scientist and it's it's a term that's pointed at us frequently

11:36

but you were the leading advocate for the idea that we had tools at our

11:42

disposal that we were not using during the covid pandemic I saw a now quite

11:49

famous piece of testimony that you gave a quite impassioned speech to the Senate was it yeah yeah um about Ivermectin and

11:58

as you probably remember Heather and I had our own trajectory

12:03

with uh Ivermectin where you know initially we believed what we had been

12:10

told that this was a drug that maybe had initially shown some promise but that scientific study had proved it was

12:17

ineffective and then when we just scratched the surface of that claim we

12:23

discovered all kinds of shenanigans and there's a moment on Dark Horse where I

12:28

literally think what I said was what the [_] is going on here right there was too much [_] surrounding Ivermectin

12:34

to for this just to be the normal fog of War somebody was monkeying with our

12:40

ability to even understand what it might be useful for at which point I found uh your testimony

12:48

and anyway uh the die was cast I suppose yep

12:53

um but in terms of in terms of framing the discussion you obviously have a new book out about

13:00

the uh you want to show your book yeah so I have it right here so it's called

13:06

Uh oddly or aptly the war on I ever Mack them um the subtitles the medicine that saved

13:12

millions and could have ended the pandemic excellent now

13:18

um you asked me to blurb your book which I was thrilled to do and I think

13:23

actually that blurb might help people understand why you know many people

13:29

have the sense like what does it matter now and it matters a great deal now that we

13:35

understand what happened to Ivermectin even if the potential utility of it is now greatly reduced by the endemism of

13:42

the virus could you read could you read that blurb yeah so um I just want to say how

Blurb of War on Ivermectin

13:47

honored I was that you wrote um you know support for the book and yours was one of the most moving so

13:53

here's what you wrote Brett the covid-19 pandemic changed the world among most profound changes was a coup

14:01

by public health bureaucrats against doctors and the traditional practice of Medicine medical professionals and scientists

14:07

were told how to think and those who bristled at the New Order or at the broken logic it dispensed were ridiculed

14:12

censored and cast out there was to be one and only one answer to the pandemic a pair of unprecedented

14:18

barely tested Therapeutics portrayed to the public a safe and effective vaccines the only hitch in that plan was that

14:25

these novel Therapeutics couldn't possibly get FDA approval fast enough instead these brand new shots would need

14:31

to get emergency youth authorization which because of the danger of experimenting on the general public

14:36

required there'd be no available alternative that requirement is why an all-out War has been waged on Ivermectin

14:43

a drug that safely and effectively treats and prevents covid but isn't worth money because it's no longer under

14:49

patent if the truth of ivermectin were ever recognized it would bring the full criminality of global covert policy into

14:55

Stark relief the war in Ivermectin tells this shocking story in Vivid detail from the vantage point of Dr Pierre Corey a

15:02

heroic and highly decorated ICU specialist who spent every minute fighting on the front lines of this dirty covert War waged by Pharma and its

15:10

governmental captives against doctors patients and all the citizens of our Earth

15:16

yeah that does encapsulate the significance

15:22

of of your book of what happened regarding Ivermectin and I would ask

15:29

anyone who is listening to this podcast if you have not confronted the evidence

15:37

of malfeasance surrounding Ivermectin please put aside what you think you know

15:43

because the the amount of money that was obviously spent to create a false

15:50

impression surrounding the utility of ivermectin with respect to covert and it has two

15:56

different kinds of utility which we will talk about but that vast sum that was clearly spent and the tools that were

16:02

brought to bear to demonize anybody who saw or even glimpsed the truth here

16:09

is in many ways the the deepest layer of

16:15

what I think of as um kind of a red onion pill right it's not

16:22

a red pill covid is a red onion pill because there is layer after layer and the deepest layer involves

16:30

a an Unholy Alliance between governmental functionaries

16:37

members of the press Tech platforms to make

16:44

consideration of ivermectin unpalatable and to portray those who somehow escaped

16:52

that Labyrinth uh appear like quacks I

16:57

mean including you a highly decorated doctor and by far not the only one on

17:04

this side of the question to portray you as lightweights or as Sam

17:11

Harris famously mused possibly schizophrenic for your belief

17:17

that there might be some value to this drug that um that edifice that was created really

17:24

tells the tale of what has happened I think to civilization right this was a

17:30

story that illustrates the reason that we are losing the ability to govern

17:36

anything well 100 you know Brett you know you just

17:41

talked about that Unholy Alliance right and you just listed right the governmental entities
uh the media

17:48

um the social media platforms and you know I I want to add to that on

17:54

holy Alliance so really what that Alliance is built on because this is what's been exposed
to me like I I think

17:59

the the deepest and most powerful lesson that I've learned is and I don't know how I'm
going to say

18:06

this but it's the Deep rot at the core of medical Sciences which is to say

18:11

really at the level of the clinical trials and um you know prior to covid

18:20

um I I had a general implicit faith and trust in in the institutions of society

18:26

um Brad I'm sure you were much more worldly than I was before in terms of what the
media you know the narratives

18:33

and the propaganda in the media but I mean I believed in the New York Times um I
believed in the high impact

18:38

journals I thought the best science and scientists published there like if you got published in new general medicine that was like yeah that's like the

18:44

Pinnacle of your career and I I thought only the best studies made it and what I

18:50

discovered in covid and which is really strange because this has been well documented for decades right so you know

18:57

I always quote um Marcia Angel the doctor Angel who who was the former editor of the New England Journal of

19:04

Medicine for 20 years and you know she stepped down in 2001 to write a book

19:10

about how farmer was literally running the journals and I mean that's 20 years ago by the way uh Brett they don't teach

19:16

that in medical school there's there's no class in medical school which is about the scope and the scale and the

19:22

influence of control of the pharmaceutical industry of literally the medical sciences and you know

19:28

that Unholy Alliance like all of that you know and what my book is structured around is it's really it's a case study

19:35

in how disinformation is practiced and literally you know as defined

19:40

um according to the disinformation Playbook right which was really invented by the tobacco industry and it's and

19:46

these are tactics they use to counter science that's inconvenient to their interests and they've been you know all

19:52

Industries practice this information and they all use the same Playbook and it's using that Alliance right they control

19:58

agencies and media and now social media I would say what is unprecedented Brett

20:04

is um you know when I look at the history of media and propaganda I mean it's been

20:10

going on for a couple hundred years I mean there has been huge you know newspaper Barons who owned you know you

20:15

know multitudes of papers and so there was always control over narrative but now the ownership right of media is so

20:23

Consolidated and concentrated I can't remember the the exact step but it's like I think five or six companies own

20:29

almost all media at least mass media and um you know but the media in order the

20:36

media and the agencies to do what they did they needed the science to support that Narrative of the horse dewormer

20:43

ineffective and I just want to finish this point on that is that you know I have a chapter in the book called The

20:49

Big Six and the reason why I called the big six is because it was literally six trials

20:55

that were unique okay so they were unique in terms of the fact that they were large heavily funded

21:02

done by highly I wouldn't even say respected but you know very accomplished

21:08

researchers um but they were the only six out of for instance all of the early treatment

21:13

trials they were the only six where literally every investigator on that trial was drowning in conflicts of

21:19

interest with the pharmaceutical industry and they were heralded as the top as like the answer to all answers

21:25

right these were the most rigorous high quality randomized controlled trials and each of those six were published in high

21:31

impact journals and they were the only trials that generated massive media campaigns right every time they were

21:38

published Front Page New York Times LA Times Boston Globe all around the world

21:43

Ivermectin found to be ineffective and and so I I guess my point is that on

21:49

a holy Alliance is founded upon the the the the the science the little

21:55

conduct of science they manipulated trials both ways that they can create trials designed to show something

22:01

doesn't work they can manipulate trials to show that it works and safety and efficacy and all that we and we saw so

22:07

much it wasn't just Iron Man right I mean we saw with hydroxylchlorically we saw with the vaccines I mean they just

22:12

they're literally control science and so whatever they conclude in those high impact journals is what everybody

22:18

believes and that that was astonishing to me bro I I you know listen I I'm an old liberal

22:24

right and I I you know big Pharma was always a four-letter word right no one ever trusted big Pharma right I mean

22:30

their history is profoundly uh actually Criminal but what I didn't know you know I always

22:36

thought they were manipulating things at the edges they might hide a few things that you know that was inconvenient to

22:42

them I I didn't know that they were on the game like literally run the whole game yeah so you uh you mentioned the

22:50

question of whether I might have uh been a little more worldly I think I probably was but only because I went through

22:58

a different ringer 20 years ago with the

Broken mice

23:03

work I did as a graduate student on what I thought was a purely abstract evolutionary question with I didn't

23:10

realize that I was stumbling into a realm that had uh medical and therefore monetary implications and

23:19

having seen what took place there whatever uh naivete I might have had

23:33

lots of people who are familiar with the podcast will have heard this story before but the short answer is

23:40

what I discovered was that there was a flaw in the model animals specifically

23:47

myosin rats that we were using to do the all of the early testing you know a lot

23:53

of the scientific work and the early safety testing for drugs and that the flaw in question which I believe arose

24:00

uh accidentally but it biases these animals in the

24:05

direction of being extremely resistant to damage that is to say they can repair

24:11

almost any level of damage that doesn't outright kill them and so if you give them a very toxic drug that destroys

24:18

cells it may actually cause these animals to live longer because it interrupts the cancers that they are

24:24

preternaturally prone to now I don't know I don't think Pharma understood

24:29

that until I put it together but I then there's a story about the attempt to

24:35

prevent my work from getting published but I did ultimately publish it I pointed directly to the implication

24:42

for drug safety and that was 20 years ago literally as far as I can tell the

24:49

only thing that has happened is a lot of backroom double dealing in which the pretense that there's no problem or that

24:56

if there was a problem it has been solved without any discussion of how that was done right that has been sort

25:02

of uh spackled over this giant Hazard and because the flaw in question

25:10

a seems to be likely explanatory for things like the viox debacle

25:18

similar cases with uh fenfen Gleevec

25:23

erythromycin all of the NSAIDs because it seems to be explanatory of

25:29

something in which human beings are injured and killed and because there was no interest what I

25:37

thought as a young graduate student 20 years ago was that even if

25:42

they're was something broken about our system that would not have been eager to take

25:48

care of this problem that the embarrassment of not taking care of it would have been so profound that it would have been forced to address it

25:54

directly and when I saw Zero movement and when I attempted to raise this issue

26:00

in the Press and it was like a bell that didn't ring right it was like a dream where there's

26:06

a bell and you're trying to ring the bell and you hit it and there's not a sound that experience told me there's

26:12

something the physics of the universe that I am now in do not add up right and I believe

26:19

that what happened is Pharma realized oh we've got mice that bias safety tests into making drugs look like less toxic

26:25

than they are well you'd have to be crazy to fix that that's why I think we're still stuck

26:31

with it but anyway that did um let's just say raise my awareness about

26:37

the possibility of very major things being wrong let me ask you a question about that Brett because I you know you

26:43

it's intriguing so basically what you learned with your inconvenient science is that they have

26:50

the capacity to suppress and or ignore right or just basically somehow make

26:55

that not known did they did they actually do any

27:00

studies that conflicted with yours because that's the other thing right all they need to do is inject doubt right so

27:07

they can do a study showing that you're wrong right they can find the opposite conclusion to what you concluded and that that's kind of what I see them as

27:13

the most effective way of of neutering inconvenient science well I think a couple things are true as

27:20

is always the case these things have a conspiratorial component

27:26

you know the Jax lab the place that is the source for the vast majority of the

27:31

mice used in scientific experiments and Drug safety testing has somebody since my work was done who

27:38

is nominally in charge of mouse telomeres right but one gets gobbledygook when one tries to chase

27:45

down what that actually means are they monitoring is there some record of the length of the telomeres in the colonies

27:51

you know can we look at what the current state is and one does not get a straight answer

27:57

so at some level there has to have been a meeting or meetings in which they

28:03

decided a strategy to uh not upend their business not have to redo all of that

28:10

safety testing on the other hand there's an awful lot of emergent Behavior among scientists and

28:19

others who know which side their bread is buttered on that looks like a conspiracy but doesn't it didn't

28:25

function like one so for example

28:30

if you know in what way the mice are misleading

28:35

that gives you a mechanism to predict the outcome of experiments that would otherwise be unpredictable if you can

28:43

predict the outcome of experiments before you run them then you can look like a genius and you can publish

28:48

important papers in the New England Journal of Medicine or wherever else because you look like you're just

28:54

excellent at science where instead what it really is is you know where the bodies are buried and how to interpret

29:00

the bias yeah so anyway a lot of people I believe

29:05

the Cutthroat world of Medical Science

29:10

once they realize what's going on do they want to kill their careers by trying to call it or do they want to

29:17

ride that wave and those people may never have been brought in on any conspiratorial conversation they're just

29:22

getting ahead yep um so no I I don't want to detract from

29:29

a discussion that should be about your book and about the war against Ivermectin but

29:36

the one thing I did want to say is you're talking about

Big pharma capture

29:42

the degree to which Pharma yes not only influences but has effectively fully

29:49

captured journals medical schools medical associations

29:54

governmental Regulators all of the pieces of the puzzle necessary to create a totally fictional world yes which is

30:02

what they tried to do during covid yes and so I call this the Pharma game and

30:07

they and I think part of what people don't get is yeah you know 20 years ago we all knew

30:14

Pharma was a problem right and like you we all believed oh it's probably

30:19

altering the science enough to matter yeah not writing it at a whole cloth

30:25

right exactly um so we're looking what we're really looking at is

30:31

The evolutionary product of the world we all thought we lived in right Pharma

30:37

having too much influence over Regulators instead of it is The Regulators it is effectively the

30:43

puppeteer and it is moving these puppets around to create the impression that science is

30:49

being done to ensure the safety of the public it's not true 100 impression creates the impression

30:56

absolutely creates the and it's worse than nothing right because the thing is

31:01

if you didn't have regulators right if all you had was your doctor

31:07

and a bunch of pills your doctor would be in a position to notice and to

31:12

discuss with other doctors hey I don't think that pill is safe maybe stay away from that one but the impression that is

31:18

created through the journals and through this phony scientific work um actually causes I'm sure it causes

31:25

doctors to override their doubts about safety right how could it not maybe I'm

31:31

seeing you know maybe the pattern I'm seeing isn't really a pattern maybe it's sampling error and I know that because

31:37

hey here's a large study that seems to suggest exactly the opposite well that's exactly it right I mean the doctors you

31:44

know when I think about medicine I mean not really my career but if you look at the history of medicine like you know some of the seminal books from the

31:50

subject I mean the pharmacopee at that time the amount of medicines that we're using in disease I mean it was very

31:55

small right now you have huge amount of medicines right all coming through

32:01

Pharma funded trials and all that and your your point is is correct I mean the

32:06

average doctor you know they essentially they have an implicit faith and trust

32:11

into that those institutions right the journals and and the the the people who do the

32:16

studies and they think that there's an Integrity there's a Code of Ethics there's you know all of these things

32:22

and they'll believe what they're told and what's shocking to me Brett is how much

32:28

they so easily believed that was break you know so like one thing let's say you

32:33

talk about Ivermectin hydroxycorkum we know that doctors are too busy they don't really read studies critically

32:39

um they'll read the abstract first of all even to read studies critically I mean you really have to say like what

32:45

didn't they put in this paper like what is missing like there's so much things that you find out when you really think about a paper and you know all these

32:53

anomalies like they'll present certain data in certain papers and leave it out of others you have to ask it why they leave it out you know and so but doctors

32:59

they read abstracts and you know and especially an abstract from the top a

33:04

medical journal in the world I mean that abstract is thus truth right it's almost like one of the you know the Ten

33:11

Commandments from The Mountaintop right and and and how much they just

33:16

you know like I guess I use the example of like for me like this unveiling right of the scope

33:24

and the scale of this capture and control you know was slow I mean it was slow and iterative during covert I mean I

33:30

was learning these I was finding these astonishing and really disturbing facts of these things that they were just

33:36

divorcing themselves from even pragmatic science or even you know these were

33:42

policies divorced from science and I was like how can they do this how are they getting away with this and I will tell you the the day that I remember being

33:49

really the most upset and Disturbed was the day that the FDA put on their

Natural immunity

33:54

website that there's no evidence to support checking antibodies before

34:00

vaccination you know when when the vaccines came out like my my good friends and colleagues like who were

34:06

internists who had offices right their patients were coming to them for guidance around the vaccine and I mean I

34:14

mean their initial gut reaction was like taking history did you have coven right that would be one way or check

34:20

antibodies and so like one of my colleagues he was checking antibodies and if he had a positive antibody you

34:26

can skip the vaccine but here we had you know a governmental agency telling the

34:31

entire country of doctors that this thing called natural immunity which we've which is as an evolutionary

34:38

biologist Brad I think you can speak about natural immunity more than most I mean this is literally how we've

34:43

survived right uh through history and viruses and you know organisms and suddenly

34:49

some words on a website and the entire country just goes with it without

34:54

critical questioning without a discussion I mean there was some stuff around that but like how did they cancel

35:00

or disappear natural immunity with one page of a website people just recovered Brad I mean you

35:07

know I I the worst story I've heard not the worst I I don't want to qualify like that but I had a patient uh once about a

35:14

year ago she was a a pharmacist who worked at a hospital and she told me

35:19

that the hospitalists at that hospital were vaccinating covid patients upon

35:26

discharge wow and yeah that to me was a wow moment

35:32

I said wait a second you know because there is a some precedent to that like with flu like people come in for some

35:38

other reason during the flu seasons and you know the doctors will offer them a flu shot because they're captive right there it's like hey you're here we'll

35:44

give you a flu shot so flu shots kind of you know it's like kind of a checklist upon discharge so like I get that but

35:51

here you had patients admitted for covid survived covid

35:56

still probably a little rocky not all of them are well but they're ready to go home and you're going to vaccinate them

36:02

with an old protein that was the other thing it was like late 2021 so guys we're using a two-year-old protein and

36:08

anyway your your answer that when you said wow that that was what I said that day I just I literally was like what is

36:13

going on the world has gone mad well it's incredibly foolish for multiple

36:21

independent reasons right on the one hand and and I guess the the thing that's

36:28

really frightening about this is anybody who's gone through medical school

36:33

ought to be able to piece one if not both of these together

36:38

one the history of vaccine safety is not a

36:44

clean record until 2020. there are lots of cases where vaccines that were

36:51

promising did not get through safety trials because they were dangerous and

36:56

there are cases in which vaccines that were released were withdrawn because they turned out not to be safe right so

37:02

the idea that vaccines are safe is Dead on Arrival that is not a true idea right

37:09

if vaccines are safe it's because we're good at the safety process in this case you had so-called vaccines

37:17

that were a highly novel in their mechanism of action really unprecedented

37:25

and B the safety testing at best was

37:31

truncated by time and there is no way to accelerate safety testing because part

37:37

of what you're looking for are long-term effects and so there is no way to know

37:43

that there wasn't something lurking five years out right so a doctor

37:49

should be interested in taking anybody off the list of candidates that they can

37:54

take off without a cost even if these vaccines were highly effective and there were no harms that had been spotted you

38:01

should still want to vaccinate as few people as you have to because the potential of hurting

38:07

somebody in some way that we didn't yet understand was something you couldn't take off the map so natural immunity

38:14

that should be like oh what a relief we don't have to take any risk with you because you got through it your immunity

38:19

is going to be better than this vaccine which provides one antigen it's not broad it's it's almost stupidly narrow

38:27

it's an old antigen right from a variant that is no longer circulating so thank

38:33

goodness we can take you off the list and not expose you to that risk every doctor should have seen that and then on

38:39

the other side the part that's maybe harder to spot is you're dealing with a layered set of

complex systems what happens when you take somebody who

38:53

has just recovered from the current circulating version of a disease and you

38:58

inoculate them with something that triggers the production of a closely related antigen while their immune

39:06

system has not fully stood down from the infection it just beat right does that make them more susceptible in the future

39:13

does it make them less does it cause the immune system to think that it has failed to fight off the infection and to

39:18

go into some kind of overdrive that we don't understand there are a dozen ways I could go wrong it's super complex and

39:24

and then the related uh question which we've explored a little bit is what do

39:29

you what happens when you give someone a vaccine for that narrow old antigen

39:36

which is now quite different right it's mutating it's not the right and we know a little bit about that with flu because

39:42

they've always done you know they're always a day late and a dollar short with the flu vaccine but this was this is even more I think it's even more

39:49

mutagenic than the flu I mean this thing was rapidly changing rapidly changing I think in part or in large part because

39:56

of the vaccination campaign itself which creates an almost absurdly concentrated evolutionary pressure which drives the

40:03

virus around um but in any case the obvious caution

40:08

that should attend medicine when confronting a novel therapy

40:14

right was simply not there the desire it was like a religious desire to vaccinate

40:21

people rather than a hey we've got no choice we've got to do a lot of vaccination but let's figure out who

40:26

doesn't need it right you know you Brett I mean you're making the way you lay that out right just

Doctors who followed consensus

40:33

makes so much sense it's pragmatic it's prudent those are all principles that you would think a doctor would employ a

40:38

physician would employ and let's be fair though Brett you know what you're the reason that you just articulated

40:45

this there's a percentage of doctors who did approach and I think thought of it

40:51

that way some very tiny numbers stood firm and stuck to those principles

40:58

but if you look at the the population physicians in this country

41:04

I think there's a large percentage who just believed what they were told that they didn't have critical thinking but

41:10

the one that's most troubling is that percentage and I don't know what percentage that is who knew this was

41:15

wrong and were coerced and co- they realized they couldn't put up resistance if if

41:21

you did you were going to be shunned and vilified and even unemployed right I

41:27

mean if if you were in your office and you worked for an employer and you started telling patients come you don't need the vaccine you don't need the

41:33

vaccine I mean you're not around long and those who thought it was the right

41:39

thing because all the the fouchies and the experts and all the societies were telling you to vaccinate everyone so you

41:44

thought you were doing you know the best science I think there's a lot of Physicians who literally thought like

41:49

because the expert said so it's the right thing to do um the best scientists and the best

41:55

Minds in the country in medicine are telling us to vaccinate anything that moves um so let's do it but there was a

42:02

proportion I think that thought like you bred and and they went along anyway that's true

42:08

um for the purpose of understanding the Ivermectin story I would just

42:14

simply within the confines of this conversation I would like to give them a

42:19

temporary pass for reasons I think will become clear to you in a second okay

42:26

it is hard and this has been hard for me as somebody who

42:31

got ejected from his career and was forced to start another and then had

42:36

that one uh interrupted by YouTube in part because of the party podcast

42:41

[Laughter] that YouTube decided uh to to move

42:49

against our Channel um but as somebody who is faced who has

42:54

been driven now from one mechanism to another for earning a living and keeping

43:00

a roof over my family's head I have a hard time judging people that I don't know and whose circumstances I don't

43:06

understand for responding in disappointing ways to intense pressure in their professional realm I want them

43:14

all to stand up but I understand that most people um

43:19

aren't built for it and I also know that some people you know what would I do to save my own

43:25

children yeah I know some people face that and that's that's totally a fair State and you're right I mean not that I

43:32

should be more forgiving but more understanding in a way and I get that I mean

43:37

you know yeah it's it's not easy it's not easy and here's the thing though

43:43

Brad like the things that you did and you stood up for when you did them though you didn't know

43:48

what the consequence like I didn't know what was about to happen because here's the example like you know when I meet

43:54

people especially in the the bubbles and circles that I travel in now I mean generally I meet supporters right people

44:00

who understand they follow our science they believe in our guidance and you know people will say things to me like

44:05

you know thank you for standing up your courage they'll use words like hero and I'm like uh the actual truth is I

44:12

had no idea when I stood up what was going to happen I literally thought like when you talk about the testimony Brett

44:19

I mean I thought the the science the review that we had done

44:25

was going to be warmly embraced like I actually literally was trembling I thought like Ivermectin would be

44:31

deployed in this systematic prevention and treatment of covid worldwide and that things were going to be different

44:37

and better and um and then my same thing that happened to

44:43

you I mean my career you know I lost three jobs I'm out of academic medicine my academic career is over and I also

44:49

have a new um a new livelihood and I'm actually quite happy about it but the transition was difficult but I didn't

44:55

know that was going to happen I didn't volunteer for that right had I known would I have done it differently I'd

45:01

like to say there's no way I would have done it differently I would have done the same thing but I I really didn't know and and when you talk about these

45:07

other doctors that I was kind of like being quite critical to you know I do think they're in a

45:13

different position because they know fully well what the what those consequences are right because they've

45:18

seen what happened to their colleagues who stood up or stood out and and so yeah I think that's a different calculus

45:25

than well no I'm gonna stop you I know you're trying to agree with me and I'm going to disagree with you because I'm

45:32

not saying they shouldn't have stood up and you're right I didn't know what was going to happen to me there was no guarantee and frankly I want to live in

45:39

a world where people do what you did I want to live in a world where people did what I did and in such a world we'd be

45:47

better off right what we face now as a collective action problem where people who keep their head down do better and

45:55

people who stick their head up are punished and that is a world that belongs to Pharma so I'm not intending

46:03

to make some kind of excuse for people I'm just saying I'm hesitant to judge people especially if I

46:10

don't know their circumstances and for this conversation I just want to acknowledge that not everybody maybe

46:18

they all should but not everybody has what it takes to stand up in the face of what you and I both know firsthand was

46:25

an insane level of coercion and pressure and the reason that I want to grant them

46:32

that temporary uh exemption here from what I think is their moral

46:38

responsibility actually I will just go back and say we are talking about violations of the

46:46

Hippocratic oath and the Nuremberg code so this exemption doesn't get you very far right those things those are sacred

46:54

principles for good reason but the um the reason to Grant a formal pass is

47:03

this it surprises me but it is true that

More people awake around "vaccines"

47:09

people have are more awake to what took place with respect to the so-called

47:14

vaccines than they are to what took place with

47:20

um repurposed drugs and I would also tack on Vitamin D yep it was barely

47:26

mentioned during the pandemic and should have been front and center so people get that something happened to

47:32

them with respect to the vaccines people get that something caused their doctor to ignore their natural immunity cause

47:38

their doctor to ignore the innate immunity of their children that something wanted them vaccinated in

47:44

spite of the fact that nobody could have known these things were safe and in fact on closer inspection they knew they weren't right so the fact that people

47:52

are awake a bit on the so-called vaccine question to me should have them say now

47:58

wait a minute if I can see how far the reality was on

48:04

the so-called vaccine question only a couple years out right the distance between the narrative

48:11

that we were sold and the reality we can now see then I know that there is something massive

48:18

distorting perception in this area and my question really with respect to many

48:24

people who have gotten pretty good on the vaccine question but still can't see what happened to

48:31

Ivermectin is why are you so damn sure of yourselves shouldn't what happened on

48:37

the vaccines cause you to throw out everything you think you know and start over analyzing right if you think

48:44

randomized controlled trials prove that Ivermectin is ineffective against covid are you willing to look at what was

48:49

inside of those trials because it's shocking right this is the same story as the vaccines

48:56

so you know that's been that's been one of the that that is a

49:02

really quick a really great question right so yes in in people's Awakening or

49:08

awareness now of of what happened around the vaccines and the manipulations and

49:14

suppression of the data the coercion and clearly that there was an incentive to have those things successful right so

49:21

you know why aren't those lessons applied to like you said other areas of

49:27

science I think it's a very natural thing so so the other thing I think is like literally the mirror image of the

49:33

vaccines was repurposed drugs and and I'm just going to call someone out as as the most shining example of what we're

49:39

talking about this inability to see past the vaccines is is really Alex barensen

49:45

um I think he is the most glaring example and you know I've had the opportunity to talk with Alex like we

49:52

were on Steve kersh's podcast where Steve was really excited he wanted us to have a debate and you know we tried to

49:57

get Steve to come now we're just going to have a discussion and it was a very professional discussion I was very

50:04

polite and I was you know I was trying to teach Alex I was like Alex you're you know and I and I I commended

50:10

him Brett I said listen Alex your work on the early calling out of all the

50:15

anomalies and invariances and and all of the really the fraud from the trials and to the suppression of data and the

50:21

misrepresentations of the efficacy of I said your work has been great and I think he's made a big really positive

50:28

impact by getting people to be really cautious and questioning these vaccines and yet he comes out and he holds up

50:38

those big six trials which are just reeking of Fraud and conflict and he

50:43

holds them out as like the Pinnacle of Science and he goes on Joe Rogan he says I ever imagine is no better than a

50:50

placebo and I and I talked to I said Alex I don't understand why you don't see that for the vaccines

50:57

to be successful those that wanted successful it was required that they destroy the science around repurposed

51:04

like it's not a complicated concept but I mean that lesson that you just gave as

51:11

an example of the vaccine that lesson should guide us in question all of those

51:16

things and the incentives to destroy repurposed drugs were the same incentive supporting the vaccines and they use the

51:21

same taxes just one they wanted to you know the narrative that they want to create is that it's safe and effective and the other one is that it's an

51:27

ineffective horse dewormer but they were there were two hands of the same body yeah it it it just makes no sense to me

51:35

right as soon as you discover that a wobble in a planet's orbit

51:41

implies some other gravitational body right now the point is okay

51:47

what else does that explain and the the desire to Short Stop the uh

51:56

you know it's like the scales fell halfway from their eyes right like that

52:01

doesn't make any sense look at worst let's say Ivermectin was a fiction had no relevance to covet which one should

52:08

not expect for multiple reasons if you once you actually understand that this is a drug that is actually uh

52:15

surprisingly broadly useful against RNA viruses you know the the presumption should go

52:22

the other way but nonetheless let's say that it was a total fiction at worst you throw out what you think

52:29

you know you go back to the the evidence and you arrive back where you were

52:35

because the evidence holds up like what have you what have you lost you've you know in fact you're on a much more

52:40

secure footing having thrown it out and arrived at the same conclusion twice whereas if you actually do this exercise

52:47

and you say well what would have to be true for these trials

52:54

to be wildly misleading about the utility of this particular drug well

53:00

they would have to be either built to fail in some subtle way or they

53:09

would have to report evidence in a misleading fashion that it created a

Trials around ivermectin

53:14

false impression of no efficacy actually both of these things are in there right they're right there to be

53:21

found now the fact that it took incredibly smart people weeks to unpack what actually the

53:28

methods meant in order to figure out how the trials were built to fail right

53:34

that's a story in itself no method section should be so complex that it takes a group of people you know

53:41

weeks to unpack what was actually done the method section should be straightforward yeah but you know let's

53:48

take for example the um bizarre and as far as I know as yet

53:56

completely unexplained BMI cut off

54:01

for the increasing dosage of ivermectin Ivermectin is a drug that is dosed based

54:09

on body weight and of course covid is a disease in which the hazard of the disease goes up spectacularly with

54:16

excess body weight and so in order for you know so basically people who are

54:22

thin are at much less risk and so you would expect a drug like Ivermectin to be much less useful because they're not

54:28

going to get sick 100 and so you would expect if this was a highly effective drug you would see it in people who were

54:35

obese and what do you find in the methods very subtly you see the methods if you read

54:42

them uncarefully they have a decent accelerating dose that goes up with BMI

54:49

yeah but cryptically there's a cut off at which for no reason they stop

54:54

increasing the dose which means it's specifically under doses the patients who are likely to be sickest and

55:02

therefore creates the impression that the drug is not effective Brett that that point you made like for

55:08

someone like you or me you know when I see that like I don't even spend like I know exactly what they're doing right I

55:15

mean there is no rational explanation so never before right so Ivermectin right it's used uh for decades giving her out

55:23

across continents right to to rid the world of parasitic diseases it also happens to be an antiviral as we know

55:29

but you know in the Decades of use never has anyone heard of a weight limit to

55:35

docent you dose it per kilogram body weight period And it distributes to the fatty tissues you have more fat you need

55:42

a higher dose right and suddenly in these trials in covid and I like how you say like I don't

55:49

think it's even that subtle what I find is when you read the methods it's just simply stated it's it's not like you

55:56

know they give you their rationale right they just say you know this struggle in the intervention group it was drugged

56:01

according to this schedule and uh anyone above let's say 85 kilograms 95

56:06

kilograms um received that top dose and then it just stated there as if that's normal so

56:13

a casual reader will just read and say okay they they had a upper weight limit to dosing I'm sure it was because of

56:19

safety right safety Brett is that is that what it was you know that but that's what someone would presume that

56:24

there's no nefariousness there when I saw it I was like what is this weight limit and then you have to ask yourself

56:30

then why would they put a weight limit if it's an extremely safe drug it

56:35

dosed according to weight why would they do it and there's only one answer to that right which is what you said is that it's a brilliant way of mitigating

56:42

or trying to disappear the efficacy because it's it's going to be strongest in the obese the highest risk is where you're going to see the biggest benefits

56:48

and it's not that subtle Brett but I don't think it's not that subtle I mean

56:55

again you know your point about uh doctors reading abstracts this is scientists too and it's an expected

57:03

effect of the competitive nature of these disciplines

57:10

right people are trying to get the biggest bang for their buck and there's diminishing returns in reading the details of the papers so people

57:16

economize and it's wrong because the bodies are buried elsewhere you know

57:23

um you know a a mentor of mine uh used to joke he would say may all your

57:28

abstracts come true right you know our their own uh their own species yep um

57:35

but in this case especially you know and Alex Berenson How could somebody who understands as

57:41

much on the vaccine side be as easily duped as he's been on the repurposed

57:47

drug side right it's just not that hard right if you go look and you know frankly the

57:53

work has been done right we now do have a very good description of exactly how these trials were built to self-sabotage

57:59

and then I mean the irony of ironies here of course I'm not telling you anything you don't know is that you've

58:07

got Pharma which is expert in making trials that make drugs look better and

58:12

safer than they are and expert it turns out in making drugs look less effective and less safe than they are

58:19

and it sets up trials to fail designed to fail and the drug is good enough that

58:26

they don't actually fail the advocacy is still reflected in these trials if you look at the data if you look at the

58:33

presentation it's quite different and so you know how much of this do you have to

58:39

see before you have the equivalent of my what the [_] is going on moment yeah as

58:45

soon as you see that it's like well I can't tell you what the truth of it is but I can certainly tell you I don't trust this paper

58:51

I mean that that point you just made right which is you know I and I'd like to laugh at some things all

58:58

those it's just this Topic's not funny but you know they're expert at

59:05

manipulating trials I mean they they design trials with predetermined results and that's described in the

59:10

disinformation Playbook I mean tobacco did it I mean they've been doing that for decades but you know with Ivermectin

59:17

I found it fascinating because here you have the top guys who know how to do this

59:23

right Farmers literally writing these protocols for them they put every little trick in the book to try to better the efficacy and Ivermectin was so good they

59:31

had a lot of trouble disappearing that signal Brad you know that they had the resort to stuff

59:39

that you know you normally don't see them have to resort to and I I think my

59:44

favorite not my favorite I don't want to see Fair my the most potent and Brazen example was the NIH active six trial

59:52

where they had to do something which is considered a never event which is

59:58

changing the primary outcome in the middle of the trial

End point of trial changed

1:00:04

and they changed it right they were looking at a difference in illness I think symptoms severity

1:00:10

um at day 14. that was the original protocol which makes sense for violin unless you want to see who gets better

1:00:15

by day 14. and in the middle of the trial without providing any data for why they did it they switch it to now we

1:00:22

want to compare how people are feeling at day 28. and in the actual paper you see that at day 14 there's a profoundly

1:00:30

statistically significant difference in favor of ivermectin so if you're a trial

1:00:36

is there and you're you know Farmers running these agencies in these trials suddenly your researchers are telling

1:00:42

you uh Houston we got a problem here we have a profoundly statistically significant benefit that we've

1:00:47

identified at day 14. what are we going to do the answer

1:00:52

let's change the endpoint to day 28 where that statistically significant by the way it's still beneficial there just

1:00:58

wasn't statistically significant yeah it wasn't statistically significant which somehow you know because the Press is

1:01:04

undereducated with respect to science yep uh journalists will translate into

1:01:10

no effect which is absolutely and completely unjustified interpretation

1:01:16

um but you know I I have been

1:01:22

persuaded by my own scientific Journey that the philosophy of Science Matters

1:01:28

tremendously and almost nobody is educated in it because it's boring and

1:01:33

seems like a different field and all of that and this is a case where

1:01:40

the the alteration the moving of the goal posts

1:01:46

in the middle of the study is it renders the entire exercise

1:01:54

completely scientifically invalid invalid Brad if I did that in a try

1:01:59

let's say I did a study imagine the the the the speed of the rejection from the

1:02:05

journal when I actually submit my paper and they say they see that I changed my

1:02:10

endpoint moved the goal post in the middle of conducting a study they would say I'm sorry we can't publish this

1:02:16

you've you've committed a scientific you know a trial a trial wrong like these

1:02:22

these invalidate I'm sorry Dr Corey this invalidates whatever you found so the

1:02:27

level of evidence it invalidates it at the level of behavior it's fraud yep

1:02:33

right you are the thing that makes science work

1:02:40

is the directionality of the observation right you have a pattern You observe it you hypothesize a

1:02:47

possible explanation you formulate a test based on the predictions and then

1:02:52

you run the test it has to go in that order or there's no science in it

1:02:58

if you knowingly take the prediction and you modify it to match the data you have

1:03:05

taken something that is anti-scientific and you has Dre you have dressed it as

1:03:10

if it was science which is not only is there no information in your study

1:03:16

because we don't know where else you did that but the intent

1:03:21

evident in your modifying you're moving the goal posts mid-study

1:03:27

is to deceive to lead people to believe that the evidence says one thing rather

1:03:33

than what it actually said right you are required even if you think your study uh

1:03:38

found sampling error right to the extent that you are interested in publishing this study you have to publish what it

1:03:44

actually found relative to the actual predictions which in this case come in the form of this particular measure That

1:03:52

Was Then altered mid-study that's fraud you describe the scientific

1:03:58

method right and you talked about then tested and here there's some rules on how you test things right you come up

1:04:04

with a study design and you say I'm going to compare these two things in this way

1:04:09

and that's what you got to stick to right but here here I want to go a little further right so you you call

1:04:15

that out first of all it's anti-science it invalidates the study I like how you call that the behavior is fraud right

1:04:21

the ACT is anti-science and invalidating the behavior is fraud but let's go

1:04:26

further there that study sailed to publication in the Journal of

1:04:34

the American Medical Association which is literally I think it's number two or number three on the highest impact

1:04:41

medical journals in the world published that study

1:04:47

where they move where they change the end point in the middle I mean and there was really very little mention of it

1:04:53

it's not even a limitation and of course no one talks about in the media no one's like oh Jama just published a study but

1:04:59

they moved the goal post in the middle and and what's even more crazy right and I I'm sure you've seen the table right

1:05:04

because Alex Mourinho did such good work on on dissecting these trials I mean his work is unparalleled um in the stuff

1:05:10

that he's done and he's not even a physician I'm using polymath he's brilliant but I mean I I would the

1:05:16

comprehensiveness and detail of the work that he did I mean I learned a lot about I learned way more Shenanigans in these

1:05:22

trials than even I could pick up I've heard Alex right and but you know Alex you know highlighted the table that's

1:05:30

published in the actual so it's so Brazen where they show the difference at day seven day 14 and day 28 and you can

1:05:37

see the statistical City you see that it was highly statistical significant Days Seven day 14 and then whoops just falls

1:05:44

under statistical seven day twenty so it's screaming from the paper in the journal American Medical

1:05:50

Association there's no discussion of it and so that that's gonna that that to me would be like exhibit a in a courtroom

1:05:56

of evidence that Pharma runs these journals a hundred percent and I would just point

1:06:04

out like let's say all right you've recovered from covid

1:06:10

and you go to the doctor and the doctor says all right let's get you vaccinated

1:06:15

right so let's suppose you know what it means that you've recovered from covid and you realize hey Doc how much do you

1:06:21

know about the safety of these novel vaccines and what happened to natural

1:06:26

immunity we know that that's the best immunity what's more if I look at these vaccines there's no way it could

1:06:33

possibly compete because the antigenic choice is so narrow and the antigen is

1:06:40

uh of a variant that's no longer circulating so you you hear okay your

1:06:45

doctor is advising you to get a shot that can't possibly be safe and cannot possibly compete with the

1:06:52

immunity that you've already got and the same doctor is telling you

1:06:58

um yeah if you get covid uh or you're exposed to somebody who has it Ivermectin doesn't work at the point

1:07:05

that you discover that your doctor is

1:07:11

in some way Downstream of some Distortion that has caused them not to

1:07:17

look out for your best interests with respect to these so-called vaccines at

1:07:23

the point you know that they're needlessly exposing you to the risks of a novel therapy

1:07:29

when that same doctor tells you that actually repurposed drugs are not

1:07:34

effective then you should wonder whether the very same distorting influence has caused

1:07:41

them to miscall that one as well and what I see almost across the board

1:07:47

is people assuming that the embarrassing Revelations surrounding the so-called

1:07:54

vaccine campaign are the limit of what happened for some reason the

1:08:00

sophisticated position is yes but Ivermectin didn't work which uh is obviously not like that was

1:08:06

the ceiling of the corruption and fraud was the vaccines right and they they

1:08:11

don't see how much further and deeper it went right um and I don't I don't think they

What the pandemic could have looked like

1:08:17

understand well I mean this is really the core issue yeah um they do not understand

1:08:24

what the pandemic would have looked like if

1:08:31

we had played differently with respect to repurposed drugs and vitamin D

1:08:38

right if we had applied those things um what would you know look through

1:08:45

pharma's eyes yeah at the level of how profitable this would have been it was spectacularly profitable profitable as

1:08:50

it went down how profitable would it have been if we went on a campaign to

1:08:56

correct people's vitamin D deficiencies and had applied Ivermectin as a

1:09:03

prophylactic when people were exposed to covid and then treated them with ivermectin and hydroxychloroquine if they

1:09:09

came down with covid what would the pandemic have looked I feel like I to raise my hand be like call on me I want

1:09:15

to answer that you know all right I mean Brett we know the answer right it

1:09:21

would have obliterated those massive marketplaces that opened up with this

1:09:27

pandemic I mean overnight you had a Marketplace in north of 100 billion dollars right uh for the pricey patented

1:09:34

Pharmaceuticals and the vaccines and first we'll obliterate that market that that's one thing that's that was what

1:09:40

they were trying to avoid but we know it would have changed the face of the pandemic I mean we've seen it in places

1:09:46

that that use repurposed drugs I mean it was a very that you didn't have hospitals filling you would have very

1:09:52

few hospitalizations very few deaths uh very little morbidity it would have it

1:09:58

would have changed the entire experience of this pandemic and it would have saved Vitamin D

1:10:04

millions of lives and I want to say something about vitamin D because you brought it up and because I think it's relevant

1:10:10

um to it's highly relevant to me in my book so you know what because there's an

1:10:16

anecdote that I talk about in a book and I think you may have heard this before but you know what happened after my

1:10:22

testimony you know here I am thinking I'm bringing forth this news to the world that's going to be warmly embraced

1:10:28

and you know everything goes sideways real quick and I couldn't figure out what was going on Brad

1:10:34

um you know within two days of my testimony the Associated Press calls for an interview I mean me and the team are

1:10:39

so excited we're like yes the Associated Press is going to bring forth this news

1:10:45

to the world and you know what happened I mean it was a complete hit job you know I spent 20

1:10:51

minutes of my life that I'll never get back explaining to this reporter providing all of the data all of the evidence and then what gets published is

1:10:59

this attack on my they don't even discuss that they just lump it in with another medicine to be debunked like

1:11:05

hydroxychloroquine like it was bizarre like they don't even talk about okay so this hit job happens and everything

1:11:11

continues to go sideways for months and you know I knew that there was big hands of farmer was everywhere I knew we were

1:11:17

you know we were fighting probably some corruption but it was only in March of 2021 when I received an email and I

1:11:24

received an email from a guy named Professor William B Grant and he's one of the top published researchers on

1:11:30

vitamin D in the world spent you know decades studying vitamin D and Publishing on on its impacts and he

1:11:37

wrote me this two-line email he said dear Dr Corey what they're doing to Ivermectin they've

1:11:43

been doing to vitamin D for decades and then he put a link to the article which kind of you know structured the book it

1:11:49

was called The disinformation Playbook and it's written by the union for concerned scientists and I clicked the link

1:11:55

and the article is really well written it's very simple it's this graphics and they just outline the tactics that

1:12:02

Pharma does to bury science that's inconvenient and I'm looking at and the tactics are named after football plays

1:12:09

you know it's the fix the fake the Diversion the screen and they give examples of each play and how how it

1:12:15

comes about and I read it and it was like like a light bulb would like to just click suddenly bred like in an instant

1:12:23

everything I've experienced in the prior three months you know the retraction of my paper after passing peer review like

1:12:29

that we knew we knew that we we were like that's Bill Gates I mean we just knew that yeah or Pharma I mean when when our when my

1:12:37

paper got retracted after passing rigorous three rounds of peer review and then suddenly that is like nope we're

1:12:42

retracting um you know we knew the the fix was in but you know the the disinformation Playbook

1:12:48

article was much more broad it showed you all of the tactics that they use and I when I'm looking at the plays I'm like

1:12:54

I saw that today they're doing that to us you know this week that happened three times last week and like I just

1:13:00

saw everything mates it's like having the teacher's Edition to what was going on in Cove I felt like I

1:13:06

and and from that point on from that day on I saw the world through a very different Looking Glass and I I just

1:13:12

could see everything and by the way those tactics and what they do that's not just Ivermectin right or even

1:13:19

medicine it's like it goes into many other topics that are in the news and so

1:13:25

and so anyways I wanted to bring up vitamin D because not only was it this

1:13:30

research around vitamin D but like let's talk about that like so what I've learned about vitamin D science since

1:13:37

then is that like and I learned it because I saw an article I think it was in Forbes

1:13:43

or in Time Magazine you know the headline was like stop with the vitamin D already that was the headline and it

1:13:49

was this long article basically reviewing all of the summary analysis of

1:13:55

What vitamin D has been tested against many many different diseases and illnesses in cancer and this article

1:14:01

basically said that is in in these meta-analyzes when you combine all the studies of Vitamin D it essentially

1:14:07

doesn't work for anything but the reality is the literature the published literature on

1:14:14

vitamin D is so heavily polluted with manipulated trials that they've

1:14:21

essentially been able to cancel cancel the efficacy of vitamin they've been doing that for decades I mean there's a

1:14:26

massive body of literature which concludes that it doesn't work right because for every positive trial they will create

1:14:33

one to show it doesn't work and so all you need to do is inject out or neuter or have conflicting evidence and then

1:14:38

everyone can determine but in the end it doesn't work and and you know that vitamin D thing you

1:14:45

know I remember thinking the beginning like we need a national campaign we need Billboards out there go to your doctor

1:14:51

check your vitamin D level put it you know you we needed printed protocols for how much to supplement in the newspapers

1:14:57

right I mean any rational Public Health official would have done that

1:15:02

and even if there were any doubt about how well it works right and I don't think

1:15:07

there could be any reasonable doubt but even if there were doubt it's effectively harmless up through

1:15:14

some incredibly high dose and so the point is you know if it was a placebo

1:15:21

fine you could make an error but the the evidence that it was profoundly useful is overwhelming if you know how to look

1:15:29

at evidence and I would also point out the implication of the story you just

1:15:35

described that there is a vast sea of phony literature designed to create

1:15:43

doubt about the efficacy of vitamin D if you just simply evaluate that and

1:15:48

find out that it's true that you have a lot of studies that seem to cast out on vitamin D and that those studies are

1:15:53

built to fail for example then the implication is that Pharma in a general way because

1:16:02

vitamin D is so General in its implication is willing to make the population sicker

1:16:09

because it sells remedies 100 right and that is a very troubling fact and really

1:16:15

you know I think everybody who's listening ought to ask themselves this question that's a very provocative

1:16:21

statement that Pharma might be willing to make the population generally sicker because it creates remedies and the

1:16:28

answer is that's a challenge right if you found that that was not true then it would cast doubt on your and my ability

1:16:35

to understand evidence and to recognize patterns of bad science and if it is

1:16:41

true then it casts doubt on all of the things that you think you know because studies tell you that that because you

1:16:46

don't know how far the influence goes dad I want to talk about that Brett because that's literally what happened

1:16:52

to me like intellectually philosophically morally like you know I

1:16:57

I feel estranged from medicine now and from many Physicians I I you know

1:17:03

you know when you think about how long they've been and the way you the way you characterize it right so you're

1:17:09

literally putting forth this notion which is really disturbing to contemplate which is that

1:17:15

you have an industry that is in the business of corrupting medical evidence

1:17:21

so that you don't take something that can protect your health because they want you to develop more illness so that

1:17:28

they can make money they need more customers right that's the simple way of saying it I mean you put everyone on a

1:17:35

good dose of vitamin D you are going to shrink your customer base

1:17:40

and for numerous diseases and so you have an industry that's

1:17:45

capable of working directly you know violating public health and the health of our community in our society and that

1:17:53

they've been doing this for decades and then you have to also ask yourself the question
Brad is what else have they

1:17:59

done this with so if you wanna believe what I'm saying about vitamin D then

1:18:04

you're going to ask what else have they destroyed on on over these decades and I mean
vitamins are one thing right

1:18:10

they've destroyed vitamin C and vitamin E and a and you know but lots of therapies have
been

1:18:16

destroyed like that and so then you're left with the unfortunate realization that the
modern practice of medicine is

1:18:21

highly curated around you know a discrete set of quite profitable interventions things
that don't make

1:18:28

money or that protect Health you know are not going to be taught and they're

1:18:34

not going to be disseminated and so the last thing I want to say on that Brett because it
kind of it refers to what you

1:18:40

were saying earlier about you know realizing you know if you've

1:18:45

suspected that something wasn't right around the vaccines and you've gone further and
you know you listen like the

1:18:51

example the example of that doctor you gave you know who's telling you to take the
vaccine even though you got over coveted and then he's saying don't take

1:18:58

those medicines because they're ineffective horse dewormers and you know like wow
this distorting influence has

1:19:05

now kind of captured my doctor and my doctor's not making sense I I want to

1:19:11

say what what how I see that now so where I go Brett you know the places I'm asked to
speak and lecture or

1:19:17

conferences that I go to a lot of them in the medical Freedom Movement um the people
who come up to me are

1:19:24

nothing short of terrified of going to their doctor many of them have abandoned their
Primary Care practitioners that

1:19:30

they've seen for even a decade or two because they'll go into the office he's wearing a white coat and he's not making

1:19:36

sense he's not making sense and he's telling them things that they know will

1:19:41

directly threaten if not maim them or their health and and so how do you go to

1:19:47

someone who you've always sought counsel to who suddenly doesn't make sense and is giving you advice that is directly

1:19:55

contrary to your interest and your welfare yes this

1:20:02

I have been I feel like I've been on a

1:20:07

lonely quest to make a particular point and I think there's something about the

1:20:13

terminology that is just it's an unfamiliar thought pattern and so I have trouble getting people to see it but the

1:20:21

point is medicine and public health are not synonymous nope

Public health coup

1:20:27

right and Public Health is predicated on

1:20:34

something true which is that there is a population level set of questions surrounding

1:20:42

health right that if you optimize for individual health

1:20:47

you may end up with results that at the population level uh are sub-optimal

1:20:54

right with respect to for example um epidemiology and Immunology right the

1:21:03

ability to get a large enough percentage of the population inoculated with a good vaccine that

1:21:09

prevents a communicable disease you do not want people

1:21:14

um necessarily optimizing away small risks to their own personal

1:21:19

health If it creates a large number of cases that didn't need to be right now I

1:21:24

have become skeptical that any governmental Authority can be trusted to adjust the difference between individual

1:21:32

health and population Level Health in an honorable way and I think we all have a
1:21:38

sacred right to protect our individual health especially in a landscape with as much
obvious capture as there is in ours

1:21:45

but nonetheless analytically there is a question to be answered about what we can do at
the population level

1:21:51

that is worth doing to improve health over the largest number of people

1:21:56

however what happened during covid as I said in that blurb to your book

1:22:03

was it coup by public health authorities and in my opinion deeply corrupt Public

1:22:08

Health authorities that were not interested or were delusional about what would
maximize Health across the

1:22:15

population a coup against doctors who practice an

1:22:22

ancient art on its best days medicine as a science but on an average day it's an

1:22:28

art in which people who are expert in looking at ill health uh deploy a limited set of tools
and

1:22:38

advice to increase the well-being Health and Longevity of their patients

1:22:44

sounds good to me what happened during covid was that doctors were effectively
forbidden to do

1:22:51

that they were forbidden to develop their own understanding of how this disease
progressed who was at most risk

1:22:58

they were forbidden to deploy what they knew about the hazards of unknown

Therapeutics right they were told what

1:23:05

to think and they were threatened if they departed from yes and that coup

1:23:12

has not been reversed right the pandemic may be over at least formally declared

1:23:18

to be so but the Damage Done to the power of doctors the Damage Done to their

1:23:24

credibility and the Damage Done to their right to do what they trained to do is

1:23:32

ghastly yeah and it leaves those of us who need doctors which is frankly pretty

1:23:37

much everybody at some point in a very bad position I experienced this fear I don't I don't want to go to

1:23:43

a doctor who I know recently allowed themselves to become a puppet of Pharma and I don't know you know they literally

1:23:51

couldn't tell me if they had realized that they had been making terrible mistakes for three years

1:23:57

and they're over it how exactly are they going to convey that yeah yeah I I mean you you've summarized the issue

1:24:05

and I mean it's you know that the fact that we we do need doctors we're all going to need a

1:24:11

doctor and you know those that are free from that oppression and coercion and

1:24:16

control you know we still at least have a private practice system in this country and they're you know I like what

1:24:23

Peter McCullough has said you know I think he once said that you know literally those that were saved from

1:24:28

covid who are treated with these repurposed drugs he estimated I don't know where he came up with this number but he basically said it's the community

1:24:35

physicians that were in private practice not working for Health Systems he thinks there's about 500 of them uh and and

1:24:42

literally treated many hundreds of thousands of patients um and but that's there's not enough

1:24:49

there to serve the needs of our society and so you're still left with a medical system with a history because because

1:24:57

that's the other thing Brad so let's say people realized what their doctor became

1:25:03

in covid right which is used to term a puppet of the pharmaceutical industry well then you have to ask

1:25:09

how long have they been a puppet of the pharmaceutical industry on on how many

1:25:15

disease models and around how many medicines and then that's where I was trying to tell you before like

1:25:22

what I'm learning now Brett's arm in private practice I have a Telehealth um practice and we I specialize in the

1:25:30

treatment of vaccine injury and and long covet which are very similar conditions and you know I I take fees I accept fees

1:25:39

I don't accept insurance I'm literally I have that autonomy that's required and I will tell you given the complexity of

1:25:46

these syndromes and this disease you know I have to employ a whole range of different Therapeutics and one of the

1:25:52

cool things about that Brad is I'm learning so much I feel like I'm

1:25:58

I am retraining in medicine but now I have this really rich network of

1:26:04

colleagues that I collaborate with that come from different disciplines not allopathic medicine I have some

1:26:10

brilliant osteopaths and chiropractors and naturopaths and even homeopaths and

1:26:16

I'm learning about all of these different therapies that three years ago if someone had said to use like for

1:26:22

instance something as simple as hyperbaric oxygen I would have been like that's nonsense you know people claim that it worse but

1:26:28

so I'm I have I I'm actually able to deploy a number of different therapeutic

1:26:33

approaches that no one would have ever taught me if I was still in the system and

1:26:38

but really to break free from being that puppet not only does medical education have to change it has to be much broader

1:26:46

um than allopathic curriculum is allow for um but you're not going to get that if you go I mean medical schools in this

1:26:52

country are literally controlled by the same entities we're talking about right so but I'm just saying there is let's

1:26:58

try to maybe put that as a positive thing although there's not enough folks like me and others

1:27:03

um to meet the needs of society but I think that is the solution we do need we

1:27:08

gotta free we got that we have to re-gain that autonomy that freedom for critical thinking using risk benefit and

1:27:15

alternative you know analyzes to the decisions and what we guide patients to do rather than literally being coerced

1:27:23

to following a protocol that's applicable to everybody you know like there is and then that's the thing the

1:27:28

complexity and the difference of patience their risks and the coma you know medicine is a real can be really

1:27:34

intellectually challenging that's actually what makes it fun I mean I love thinking through cases and problems and

1:27:40

and you know so when you talk about that puppet or that coercion you know I do

1:27:45

like to mention that prior to covid I had never in my career been told I

1:27:51

couldn't do something I couldn't use it like basically you know prior to covid Brett the risk was on you as a physician

1:27:58

your malpractice so if you did something stupid if you did something crazy that didn't have good evidence for it or had

1:28:03

some risks that were unacceptable right that's on you right so you know you do

1:28:09

something wrong by a patient um you're going to Bear the responsibility but here not only the responsibility was

1:28:17

relieved but so is the autonomy right so they just told you what you do and then then they held you um they didn't hold

1:28:22

you liable for it right because you were following the guidelines and so well it's it's worse than that though

1:28:29

because okay they told you the advice that you

1:28:34

had to deliver and the Therapeutics that were allowed and they forbid you to prescribe off label and they interrupted

1:28:41

the ability to prescribe off label at the pharmacy if need be right but the

1:28:47

now that I hear you describe it from the doctor's perspective the echo of the Milgram experiment is glaring because

1:28:56

what I also maybe this is what you were getting at and I'm just slow to catch up

1:29:02

but the doctor who's been told what they're allowed to prescribe and been told they have no choice but to defend

1:29:08

to dispense this advice to dispense disinterpretation right

1:29:14

that doctor is absolved also of

1:29:22

the horrifying experience of injuring or killing a patient through an accident

1:29:28

through a misperscription drug interaction whatever the point is if you've been told what to do if you've

1:29:35

just become a medical Cog who's dispensing Anthony fauci's wisdom about how we're going to address this thing

1:29:41

and somebody dies I think you would you know what choice

1:29:47

did you have you dispense the advice the patient died that wasn't you didn't choose the advice you were right so in

1:29:53

accord with the best available science and knowledge and recommendations from authorities I mean what could be more

1:29:58

impede unimpeachable than that right you're doing the best science out there and so you're right and then that is

1:30:05

that you you know there's a little Nuance you brought up there because you know we know that these vaccines are

1:30:11

incredibly toxic and lethal and so there are Physicians who

1:30:16

by doing that they they know that they've had patient I've talked to Physicians who know that

1:30:23

the vaccine was the approximate cost of death in their patients and yes you're right it does so I'm going to

1:30:29

dissolve them like they don't have they don't have to carry that guilt of having made an error in judgment because they

1:30:36

by definition were using the best judgment available or supposedly I guess they were they were something was

1:30:43

packaged in that way um but allow me to redirect us here a

1:30:48

little bit I'm getting something from from your description I'm like relearning a lesson I knew but

1:30:55

I'm seeing it from a different spot there's a there's a principle I'm fond

1:31:02

of posiwid the purpose of a system is what it does right it's the flip side of

1:31:09

an evolutionary principle that I don't think has been well laid out but basically

1:31:15

the creatures you get describe the niches that are there right

1:31:21

if a niche is there it's going to get filled by selection and whatever Pharma started out as

1:31:29

there's an obvious Niche that it has evolved to it has mastered right and the Mitch the niche is how to

1:31:37

create demand for products right demand for pharmacist products are

1:31:46

very rarely generated by health

1:31:53

demand is generated by ill health and so you know I would expect in a world where

1:32:01

Pharma had gained undue influence over our

1:32:06

scientific Viewpoint that prevention would fall by the wayside

1:32:12

I would expect that um the contribution of a very well

1:32:20

thought out diet would fall to the Wayside in favor of

1:32:27

um advice that actually renders vulnerabilities and um

1:32:33

A friend of mine has recently been beating the drum in some uh

1:32:38

offline conversations about the possibility that the advice we've all

1:32:43

gotten about the danger of iron deficiency is actually flipped on its head

1:32:49

um I would expect Pharma not to be in favor

1:32:54

of um life habits that generate happiness

1:33:01

satisfaction Etc and in thinking about this okay I've

1:33:07

described a kind of a dystopian World in which this thing has evolved to interrupt these processes that make

1:33:13

people need less pharmaceutical drugs on the other hand when I think back

1:33:18

through the pandemic you know there was a moment at which I realized

1:33:25

this isn't just wrong advice it's the inverse of right advice exactly

1:33:32

and in my mind when I think about this I I think about the images of

1:33:38

signs closing off Trails outdoor Trails because of the

1:33:45

pandemic sand poured into skate parks where children might have done something that

1:33:52

would have occupied them and made them feel good and gotten them in the Sun and right just the idea that if it was good

1:33:59

for you something opposed it right what it wanted you to do was go inside where

1:34:05

you wouldn't make enough vitamin D or you'd be confined with anybody else who had the virus it didn't want you to

1:34:12

treat until you got really sick at which point viral diseases aren't treatable

1:34:17

right right it gave you every piece of bad advice in the book and

1:34:23

it demonized anybody who noticed right

1:34:28

that does sound like a well here's the thing in evolutionary

1:34:36

biology we would draw a distinction between a parasite you might say Pharma

1:34:41

has evolved into a parasite that is draining Health from the system for its

1:34:48

own purposes but then there's another term a parasitoid a parasitoid is a parasite

1:34:54

that kills you I was going to say a predator I was going to use the term Predator it's more than that because they are there is death that's resulting

1:35:02

a lot of death that's resulting and what I'm wondering you know look one has

1:35:08

to be careful with thoughts like this because you have to figure out how to falsify it but I have watched science

1:35:14

come apart yeah right biological science is no healthier than what you've seen in

1:35:19

medicine why is that well you can't very well have

1:35:26

do-gooders over in science Testing [__] marketing claims and

1:35:31

discovering that they're not true and discovering that what you really probably ought to do is go to the skate

1:35:36

park or take a hike and definitely get some sun and maybe sunscreen is something you want to use very sparingly

1:35:43

yep right you can't have people doing that and so you more or less have to

1:35:49

um neuter the capacity of science to prove that you're lying

1:35:55

and that describes what has happened inside of the University system right

1:36:00

the puppets who are dressed as doctors inside of medicine are dressed as

1:36:06

scientists inside the R1 universities and it is a lethal danger to

1:36:11

civilization yeah I don't know how much of its Pharma but it's clearly a kind of spreading corruption but they were the

1:36:18

system that you just described right that you would imagine it would be constructed with these features I mean

1:36:24

you're literally describing the system we're living in right and yep and then you consider the amount of people who

1:36:30

are employed in that system who might not recognize the system in the way that you just described it and you know one

1:36:40

point I wanted to make this is going to be like the another third rail of a topic but you

1:36:46

know when you talk about that system that has literally an incentive to create a population that is ill right

1:36:52

and to make sure that all of the things that can make you well and healthy and happy

1:36:58

um are not as what is advised you know I I you know from what I've you know what I Childhood vaccines

1:37:05

learned about the covid vaccines not only I learned about Reaper but it also

1:37:11

led me to studying childhood vaccines and

1:37:16

when you look at the history and the science that supports those vaccines you

1:37:21

learn some really really uncomfortable facts and I would say one of the most glaring

1:37:29

is the wealth of data showing the

1:37:35

absolute explosion in chronic illness that's coincident with the explosion in

1:37:42

the childhood vaccine schedule and so that to me is one of the of all

1:37:47

of the things that we've already kind of talked about Brett I mean the things that I'm learning when I say that I'm estranged I'm lost I don't you know

1:37:54

because everything that I thought to be true or at least had faith in that was operating you know

1:38:01

with principles that I hold dear you find that that's not the case and it's

1:38:07

very disorienting um and then to find what you think has

1:38:12

been settled science which is this the you know the overwhelming benefit of

1:38:17

childhood vaccines you find that that's actually that's got some pretty flimsy data to support and it has very

1:38:24

disturbing data that's suppressed and then but the point that I wanted to make is that we have so much chronic

1:38:31

disease in this country at rates that you didn't see in the 70s and 60s I mean

1:38:36

there's numerous reasons right our diets have gotten worse and uh things like that but the the the

1:38:44

the fit the mechanisms of those vaccines and what's in them and how they really

1:38:49

do that we've shown how they can cause chronic diseases autism and ADHD and all

1:38:55

sorts of things I know this is a troubling topic for people because you know how much can you bring someone along like I've come along slowly here

1:39:01

but but I keep discovering this system at work

1:39:08

so this is a this is a very important it is an uncomfortable topic you know

1:39:13

um but let's take a different version of it okay okay

Hydroxychloroquine

1:39:20

I came to an understanding that something about the Iram actin story didn't add up at all

1:39:28

and I said so and Heather had spotted it we followed that road we discovered what

1:39:34

you and I have been talking about I was very late to apply what I had learned about

1:39:42

Ivermectin and the Distortion field surrounding it to hydroxychloroquine hmm right so were

1:39:50

we we were too a little bit yeah it's funny I just and you know at the point

1:39:56

that I got to that right at the point that something woke me up that I needed to actually refigure

1:40:02

what I had thought about it because everything I had learned about Ivermectin meant that I didn't know anything actual about hydroxychloroquine

1:40:09

and then of course it takes nothing to discover that what happened to hydroxychloroquine was purely political

1:40:16

right um it was identical but I I you know I've

1:40:22

made the statement Brett that um you know I wrote the book The War on Ivermectin but there's another you could write the war on hydroxychloroquine I

1:40:28

mean it could be the same book in the state same same tactics same Playbook you're right there was some more political there was a there was a

1:40:35

political Dimension because of trump right right um political Dimension because of trump and you tell me if I've

1:40:41

got it wrong but I think uh maybe just because Ivermectin is

1:40:49

so unusually non-toxic right

1:40:54

they didn't kill people with it well you reported the point that I just wanted to make and I'm glad you made the point is

1:41:00

that you know when you look so going back to what we were discussing earlier about some of these manipulations in the

1:41:06

trials and you look at how they designed Ivermectin trials to fail their main tactics so they used about

1:41:13

four or five and I'll just list them so one we already talked about right which is this ridiculous unprecedented

1:41:18

unprecedented dosing limit right to these patients so as soon as you hit a certain weight you're not getting any

1:41:24

more over magnets so under dosing you know the highest risk the other is obviously treating as late into the

1:41:30

disease as possible so they would enroll patients up to 14 days after first symptoms which I can't say without

1:41:36

laughing um they use the lowest dose possible

1:41:42

that they could get away with for the shortest duration um you know no trial of iverm actin you

1:41:48

know for a long time ever used five day I've never heard of an antiviral there's no antiviral out there that's ever used

1:41:54

for less than five days and so it's the lowest dose starting late mildest

1:41:59

patients uh you know capping the dosing limit um and then obviously fat what's that
1:42:06

you forgot fat I mean uh uh and taking on an empty stomach is that

1:42:12

what you're gonna say or or yeah that this this drug because the typical use

1:42:17

of it is for parasites where you want the drug active in the gut right yep in

1:42:23

such a circumstance um taking it on an empty stomach might make sense but if what you want to do is

1:42:29

have it be absorbed you want to do exactly the opposite it it's fat soluble and you need you need therefore to take

1:42:34

it with something that has fat in it and they took great care to always tell people in those in the big six trials to

1:42:40

take it on an empty stomach um and then and then they did a phenomenal job of making sure that the control group had

1:42:46

abundant access to Ivermectin so it's a lot of these trials you know I I kind of uh I'd laugh and say you know it's

1:42:53

pretty hard to prove that Ivermectin is better than Ivermectin right and they do that right

1:42:59

um but another another egregious violation of uh the philosophy of

1:43:04

science has access but they you know they pulled those same um the same six tactics over

1:43:12

and over and over again and but but to the topic right so I've long made that

1:43:18

contrast so the tactics they used to prove that Ivermectin didn't work was

1:43:23

everything was about lowering lowering lowering shortest duration lowest dose empty stomach right

1:43:29

because there was a phenomenal dose response to Ivermectin which is actually

1:43:35

one of the pillars to support the efficacy of a medicine if you're saying it's the thing that makes you know that

1:43:40

it's not a statistical enough exactly as you increase those they get better faster viral clearance is fast you know

1:43:46

and so we saw so we knew there was a dose response and then the other thing about Ivermectin which is the point you

1:43:51

were going to make is that it's really hard to take a toxic dose I mean first

1:43:56

of all in a massive review done by a French toxicologist he came to the conclusion after reviewing the entire

1:44:02

literature Viber method that no one has actually ever died uh from Ivermectin you know no proven case was actually

1:44:09

ever um he felt provided credible evidence that Ivan rectum was the cause of death

1:44:14

now there have been massive accidental overdoses hundred thousand times normal dose people do get sick but it's

1:44:21

self-limited they go to the hospital they're a little bit neurologic toxic you know confused disoriented and they've all recovered

1:44:28

hydroxychloroquine on the and does have an offer limit and what do you know Brett in that system that you described

1:44:35

what do you know how did they do that big trial of hydroxyl and they literally used one of the highest doses sub-lethal

1:44:43

that you knew was going to make these patients toxic and they showed that people who got hydroxychloroquine did

1:44:49

worse yep they couldn't do that with Ivory if they tried that and people would have gotten better so fast

1:44:57

yeah so I I think that's interesting that these two drugs would have resulted in a different you know Pharma had to

1:45:04

play a different game with hydroxychloroquine but nonetheless the point is it took me a long time my point

1:45:09

is that it took me a long time to go back and rethink hydroxychloroquine for no obvious reason it just didn't occur

1:45:17

to me that I was harboring something that felt like it was based on evidence that actually once I knew what had

1:45:24

happened to the so-called evidence in the Ivermectin case of course I should have gone back immediately

1:45:29

um but I think a lot of people are stuck in that state where they know something was wrong here but they haven't gone

1:45:35

back and said well then wait a minute where do I need a fresh sheet of paper you know so Brett like you said um that

1:45:42

you surprised yourself I think in that what you learned about iverm acting you didn't kind of immediately apply and

1:45:49

scrutinize hydroxychlorine which those lessons should have taught you to ask those questions of hydroxychlorinate and

1:45:55

the same thing happened to us you know I would say with Paul and I and hydroxychloroquine in the beginning

1:46:03

we both put a lot of value in the randomized control trials published in the high impact journals which all

1:46:08

concluded that it was ineffective and we put a lot of weight on those trials for a while like Paul would not

1:46:15

recommend hydroxychloroquine I I came around a little sooner than Paul because I

1:46:21

I actually this is a great I would love to have a discussion on the differences between observational control trials or

1:46:26

randomized control trials but they're highly valid observational controlled trials I mean yes you do have to be

1:46:31

careful on how you interpret them but as a body of evidence they're equal in in their accuracy to randomize control

1:46:37

trials and when you saw the the breadth of Trials of hydroxychloroquine and the summary analyzes of them they all

1:46:44

pointed to efficacy and I was impressed with the total body of evidence Paul put a little bit more or he held on to

1:46:52

putting a little bit more value on the high impact Journal randomized controlled trials and he held that out

1:46:57

as you know and I think that dissuaded him from recommending it but you know it
1:47:02

took us a little while after I've met and realized that they pulled the same stuff with
hydroxychloroquine and and I

1:47:08

will tell you you know what you know what I think finally turned us and Paul was actually
chapter one of Bobby

1:47:14

Kennedy's Book where he moves it out I mean he tells you know that first chapter I
mean he tells the story of

1:47:20

what happened to hydroxychloroquine and and and when we read you know Paul
became fully convinced then that we'd been we'd

1:47:28

been lied to about hydroxycycle and we had we believe those lies for longer than we
should have and you know Harvey risch

1:47:34

and Peter McCullough and you know a lot of the folks around the world who knew
hydroxychloroquine was working you know

1:47:39

I think they they were disappointed in us that we hadn't that it took us a while to get
behind hydroxychloroquine

1:47:45

and um you know and and I've apologized to them I said I'm sorry we were so slow to
figure that out and you know but that

1:47:52

had really big implications I mean that that's also a massive loss of life around burying
the efficacy of that drug

1:47:59

and in fact I think that created more harm than I've mentioned because it was

1:48:04

earlier from actin was not really a strong candidate early on

1:48:09

some people you know there were a few who knew that was a strong candidate people
like Robert Malone knew Robert

1:48:15

had done you know years with him his wife Consulting around um identifying
repurposed drug

1:48:21

candidates for for different pandemics and Ebola and he they in in some of their
through

1:48:26

throughput sequencing they'd identified either from acting as um number one I think
against Ebola

1:48:32

um and so he knew a lot about repurposed drugs and he he knew all this stuff

1:48:37

um but yeah but no but I guess my point was that hydroxychloroquine had that been deployed systematically in the

1:48:45

prevention and treatment as it should have been from early on that would have really saved the most lives because it

1:48:51

was 2020 it was right at the outset we had something that worked right at the outset and

1:48:58

you know something in which we already had the manufacturing capacity to produce it in large quantities

1:49:04

yeah it's um so at at one level you have to look at this and try to extract The Meta Meta lessons and single payer

1:49:12

lessons yeah something that

1:49:18

I still find it bizarre how comfortable it apparently is uh depriving people of life-saving

1:49:26

information and drugs something decided to derail

1:49:34

the right path for reasons that we can get back to in a second but

1:49:40

there's also something about the lesson the sociological lesson here

1:49:46

you are finding yourself retraining in medicine

1:49:52

ironically because you're now freed from the very subtle

1:50:00

controlling forces that exist inside the institutional structure of medicine yep

1:50:06

I've had the same experience in science where I find my

1:50:13

colleagues inside the system are constrained by things they don't even see in terms of what the bounds of

1:50:21

responsible thought are and once you leave the system it becomes clear how much nonsense is circulating

1:50:30

um and so you know the irony is

1:50:35

we've got these big hospitals full of everything you would need to practice medicine and
1:50:42

these big beautiful medical schools that are perfectly set up to train doctors to think
scientifically and to treat their

1:50:50

patients and because this has all been captured and corrupted by Pharma or

1:50:56

whatever other force and I you know it's at least Pharma maybe it's something beyond
that too

1:51:02

all of these structures don't work they're actually counterproductive and

1:51:10

you have this odd fact you know the people that you named you know

1:51:15

McCullough and Malone and you and Merrick and uh cariaty and you know we

1:51:25

could go on and on coal all of these people have been cast out portrayed as

1:51:31

incompetent and they're the only people that you can actually trust to give you an
honest evaluation

1:51:39

of the medical wisdom of something or the medical meaning of some pattern because
they've already paid the price

1:51:46

right yep you have to stare down the accusations and then yes it does terrible things to
you but at the point

1:51:53

that it's done the Terrible Things it's said you know literally uh gee I wonder

1:51:58

if Pierre Corey might be schizophrenic if that could explain why he thinks Ivermectin
works right once the system

1:52:04

starts deploying that kind of outrageous slander against you

1:52:10

then you are actually free to look at the quality of the studies to look at the quality of the
products to look at

1:52:18

the likelihood of these things improving Health to look at the um the

1:52:23

obvious remedies that are sitting right in front of us that carry almost no risk and have
huge potential upside like

1:52:30

vitamin D yeah and you can just simply say what is apparently true now it's interesting
that you you kind of you

1:52:37

that you had this you have the same experience right you had to leave a

1:52:43

system of Science and I use the same term you use I call them system docs you know the patients who come to my clinic

1:52:50

the Leading Edge clinic by the way which is what we called it um you know all of them have journeyed

1:52:55

through the system and they've seen all the doctors and in particular around vaccine injury and long-haul covet

1:53:02

um you know you're right the doctors in that system they don't understand the

1:53:07

constraints they don't understand how much information is being deprived of the the they're actively not being

1:53:14

taught things there are so many things they don't know they don't know the question all the

1:53:19

things that I now question right going back to that concept we talked about like now having had the experience of these three years

1:53:25

now not only did you say that I'm freed up to do all these different things but I'm also I've been trained now to ask

1:53:32

questions at a level that I didn't know was that necessary I had a lot more trust and now I have so

1:53:40

little and all I have is you can use the term skepticism or questions and and the

1:53:46

the amount of questions of where the answers are disturbing so like the history of ssris

1:53:54

and statins any number of things that are literally pillars of allopathic medicine

1:54:01

you know you're finding that we've been far too trusting of that system of

1:54:07

medicine and and so yeah not only am I free to practice what I think is good Madison now um and I can draw from so

1:54:14

many different disciplines and approaches and mechanisms and many of which are extremely safe that's also the

1:54:21

problem right um because if it's really safe and effective uh they don't want you to know about it and so it is a very freeing

1:54:28

thing but also I'm in intellectually free I'm much more inspired and questioning and open-minded I'm

1:54:34

open-minded to the point I've never been like literally Brett I mean I hated

1:54:40

vitamins before I would you know why I hated them and when I say hate that's a strong word I I was very troubled by the

1:54:47

the billion dollar industry in peddling vitamins which I didn't think were really adding to the health of people

1:54:52

and I'm wrong about that actually I'm not saying all vitamins are necessary actually I do think they're overused you

1:54:58

know in generally healthy people but I was sick of reading trials showing that vitamins were ineffective like the

1:55:05

literature's so full of them I was just like enough with vitamin can we stop they don't work they're not good for

1:55:10

anything that's literally what I used to think and and even around synthetic you know you know the way alternate you know

1:55:17

the alternative practitioners are presented to allopas I mean allopaths are told we we're given this arrogance

1:55:23

and these egos right that like we have the best science in medicine like a

1:55:29

chiropractor is some lower form of practitioner or even an osteopath or any

1:55:34

other discipline is less than we are and actually I'm finding there's another one I actually think the

1:55:41

opposite is true some of the doctors that I'm not working with and learning from are unbelievably effective at

1:55:49

helping people preserve their health treating illness in really safe ways

1:55:54

using combinations of therapies I I would I would have thought were incredible before and

1:56:00

um I hope I hope my transformation happens to a lot more because like like

1:56:05

we said before in this country is going to need a lot more but we need this system of Science and medical Sciences

1:56:11

is broken it's been captured and um we need to free more Physicians from

1:56:16

that system they have to know they have to be freed from that system and we have to preserve

1:56:22

I think you know I'm I don't want to get really dark here but we do have to preserve Private Practice

1:56:28

because Brett you know if that system that you described right like what is your prediction if suddenly people flee

1:56:35

the system and go into private practice and and start doing things like I'm doing oh goodness what's the reaction

1:56:43

there how is the system going to correct that uh you know you you and I are both uh

1:56:50

liberals and three years ago I thought Single Payer was a great idea

1:56:59

I wrote a thesis in graduate school basically describing Medicare for all

1:57:05

that's literally what I wrote at the end of my master's degree in public health and when I it pains me to think about it

1:57:14

it's troubling because I mean I'm not even

1:57:21

sure I think some of my listeners are going to have to listen very carefully to what

1:57:26

I have to say I am terrified of things like single-payer at this point yes because I

1:57:34

understand the degree of capture that we face and the

1:57:39

degree of power that that gives uh entities that are not interested in your health over the uh the practitioners

1:57:50

doesn't mean that there is not you know were we to be able to rescue our governmental structure or build a

1:57:56

different one that was resistant to capture it doesn't mean there wouldn't be value

1:58:01

in thinking about whether or not a single-payer system worked better than what we've got but

1:58:07

given the level of capture I'm interested in uh changing the balance of

1:58:14

power and having it be placed back in the hands of doctors who can handle it

1:58:21

um and I now realize that all sorts of things you know um

1:58:27

Insurance of any kind whether it be a governmental program or private

1:58:32

the idea of standard of care right all of these things become weaponizable yes

1:58:40

and the degree to which whatever it is that's doing the weaponizing is

1:58:46

apparently comfortable with the atrogenic harm yes is spectacular

1:58:52

so I I want to kind of emphasize something you said because you're right I think you use

1:58:58

Single Payer if you look at the structure and you look at as a system there are attractions right there are

1:59:06

efficiencies there's some comprehensiveness to it there's more inclusive I mean there are real strengths that would on paper draw you

1:59:13

towards a single parent and that's why I say it pains me when I think back to what I wrote when I was 27 years old

1:59:21

because the thing that I didn't understand was what you talked about which is the fact that our system has

1:59:29

been captured if you make it single-payer you're just giving them more power and control to really it's

1:59:35

actually bad for our health and and I would also want to make the wider Point um Brad this is even bigger than

1:59:42

medicine which is one of the lessons I've learned in covid is the more you

1:59:47

concentrate the power in a single entity or at a certain level for instance the federal government or the Executive

1:59:53

Branch the more that's concentrated the more you put yourself at risk to that

1:59:59

and and that really the answer is decentralizing if that's the only way to

2:00:04

protect from that overwhelming concentration of because if you put your like you put all your eggs in one basket

2:00:10

and that basket gets stolen you're out of luck right and and you

2:00:16

know and that's that almost has political implications right so for me like like I'll give you another thing that I've I've had to rethink like it's

Political capture and RFK Jr

2:00:22

almost like I've had to rethink how I understood science society and life and some of the belief like for instance you

2:00:28

said that we're both liberals so in a very simplistic way I kind of always believed in what I thought was good

2:00:34

government I actually thought government could be good and that it really was necessary to level the playing field and

2:00:41

I and I and I sort of look back at some of the major policy uh improvements of

2:00:46

last century and you know where you didn't have such a polarized society and all that I mean I thought government did

2:00:52

some really important things last century and and I still believed I had a concept that that could still be

2:00:58

possible but now I've had to almost rethink my political philosophy because now

2:01:05

governing is not government anymore right it's it's it's really controlled by corporations and so

2:01:11

so you know and and so this and I I don't know if if you want to go political here but what's interesting to

2:01:18

me so like you use the example of those doctors still in the system they don't know how they're being constrained they

2:01:23

don't know how their thoughts and the information is being censored um I had a recent experience where um

2:01:30

some in-laws came over and um I have a couple in-laws who are like you know

2:01:36

Die Hard liberals and they knew that I was living they you know they're very upset that I hang out with Ron Johnson

2:01:42

okay so like that that kind of liberal right and and

2:01:48

um you know as we were I was having this talk discussion them I'm listening to them talk and I realized

2:01:55

that I'm talking to someone who doesn't understand that the government's been captured and they were really highly

2:02:02

supportive of the administration and the government and it was weird it's like you know I used to believe like you but

2:02:10

I can't anymore and I feel like now actually liberals have now they're not liberal anymore they've literally

2:02:16

supporting corporations strange they are captured

2:02:23

in a way rather like doctors who don't realize that they've been captured yes

2:02:28

right and you know the there's no there's no more dangerous belief than

2:02:34

blue no matter who yes right you're placing trust in a system

2:02:40

that has zero claim to be trustworthy yes correct none

2:02:46

so anyway I um glad you raised this it uh it it brings

2:02:51

us quite naturally to uh to uh the Odyssey which is a little little book in

2:02:58

the end of the Odyssey um uh Odysseus returns to the manor

2:03:04

you will recall and um he uh he goes

2:03:09

into the manor you know dressed in an inconspicuous I think like a vagrant and strings the bow and lays waste to the

2:03:18

captors of the manor and I think the point is this is this is not a liberal

2:03:23

or conservative point this is a patriotic Point yes it is the moment at which

2:03:30

um Odysseus needs to string the bow and retake the manner so that we can have

2:03:36

something like good governance right um many of us have

2:03:42

received an incredible education uh inadvertently and very painfully

2:03:47

during covid at what has actually um dressed itself as if it was our

2:03:55

government but is actually acting against our interests yeah and it is that moment so sorry for the digression

2:04:02

no but I want to say one more thing on that digression because you talk about the manner and stringing the bow

2:04:08

um you know I have to say so like my confusion and

2:04:13

my stances on my thoughts towards government and politics you know have also been disrupted with this pandemic

2:04:20

and learning what I've learned but you know what was really kind of a very warm experience for me and I'm just going to

2:04:27

speak plainly about it but you know I I went to Bobby Kennedy's opening you know the opening of his campaign and that

2:04:32

speech that speech brat I mean wow I feel like I'm gonna get tears

2:04:39

literally I'm not really emotional man because I listen to that guy and I just was like

2:04:45

I I was so drawn to his message and his vision and his Solutions like he gets it

2:04:53

he gets it in in a way that's so deep and he's so pragmatic and studied and

2:04:59

like I was extremely moved he reminded me what I believed in you know he reminded

2:05:06

me like where I came from and what I really think is true and right and I I found it very inspirational and very

2:05:13

hopeful I hadn't had hope like that I haven't felt true hope I felt a lot of

2:05:18

Despair to be on and spread I I'm kind of down about a lot of stuff because this war has been hard and it's yeah and

2:05:26

I see so much damage and destruction and it's getting worse and and I don't know I don't want to make this about Bobby

2:05:32

but I do want to say that like for me personally it was a very um restorative
2:05:37
experience and so I'm actually thrilled that uh
2:05:43
that you saw that as the right follow-on point to my bizarre digression into the
2:05:50
Odyssey because it's exactly what I'm talking about there you go there you go and not
2:05:56
only is it exactly I've tweeted out Odysseus 24 a couple times no nobody
2:06:03
knows what the hell right it gets no traction it doesn't land on anything
2:06:09
that anybody recognizes but this is exactly what I'm thinking is that you know
2:06:15
and I don't I don't mean to synonymize it with Bobby Kennedy but he
2:06:21
is certainly what I see in my mind when I look at uh Odysseus returning to the
2:06:28
manor and stringing the bow yep right this is somebody who understood what we
2:06:35
were who has paid a terrible personal price
2:06:41
and is in a position because he's so
2:06:46
honorable and thoughtful and insightful
2:06:53
um to to restore the world that no longer is and I will
2:07:03
also say not only do I find his vision quite compelling but I am deeply
2:07:10
heartened by the extent to which it resonates across
2:07:17
ideological lines right yes he is he is a liberal like you
2:07:22
and I are he's in the exiled from The Blue Team group of liberals of which
2:07:28
there are quite a number um but the degree to which people who see
2:07:34
themselves as part of the red team can hear what Bobby Kennedy is saying
2:07:40
and resonate with it is very heartening yes so I'm excited about it too me too
2:07:47
me too for sure wow um if I can go back you mentioned uh
Back to childhood vaccines

2:07:52

the vaccine schedule and the uh explosion in chronic disease

2:08:01

I also see this the same way I don't know what the truth is of the vaccine schedule and its relevance or the

2:08:08

explosion in the vaccine schedule and its relevance to the explosion in chronic disease but I do know

2:08:14

that the implication of the explosion in chronic disease

2:08:21

implicates environmental exposures yes and for any

2:08:28

of the people who so smugly declare that it is not the vaccines fine why are you

2:08:34

not obsessed with figuring out what it is right because the point is you're talking about Generations yet unmaimed

2:08:42

who we could save yeah if we can figure out what it is and if it's not the vaccine's great let's rule them out and

2:08:48

let's figure out what else is doing it and let's stop doing it because you're talking about

2:08:54

crippling people yes and so anyway I you know but even bigger

2:09:00

I want to make a subtle uh besides the crippling you know because we were talking Brett about the

2:09:07

you know like the the system and what are those incentives like how would that system behave you know

2:09:14

which is really the opposite of our health but you know it's creating literally customers

2:09:19

for life because those are chronic conditions that they have medicines for

2:09:24

and God and so you know you give someone let's say chronic severe asthma or

2:09:30

allergies or ADHD or Autism I mean that that's that's a huge

2:09:38

population of patients that you're creating over a lifetime and and that's just disturbing to think about as

2:09:43

anything it's physiological brand loyalty right

2:09:50

they are creating customers they're creating captive customers

2:09:57

and it's it's um you know look I'm I don't know to what

2:10:02

extent this is a conscious thing that Pharma does I know that there will be elements of it that are highly conscious

2:10:08

and there will be other elements where the system doesn't even understand what it has evolved to do right it just

2:10:13

enriches people who Advance the ball at public expense but

2:10:20

um the net effect is diabolical diabolical it's diabolical

2:10:26

you're actually talking about not only just harming maiming people

2:10:34

you're talking about in many cases maiming children yep right and

2:10:40

no healthy Society can tolerate that and we have tolerated it

2:10:46

too long I want to make a point about the

2:10:51

the diet about how diabolical is because here here's another thought I have on is

2:10:56

that very extent of its evil and Corruption and really the implications of it how

2:11:03

horrible those consequences are I also think somehow protects the system in a

2:11:10

way because Brett to fully conceive of what we're talking being able to admit into your

2:11:16

mind that there is a system that's being run by leaders who know these things and yet

2:11:24

do these things anyway you then have to be able to countenance or consider the

2:11:31

fact that there are literal sociopaths running Industries and and I think you know for some like I

2:11:40

can believe that now because I've seen that evil play out in covid I think before I I

2:11:48

think I had a general sense that people are but I do think the average person is

2:11:54

benevolent and you know is kind and or capable of it and that I think there are

2:12:00

few that are really capable of of committing acts and and behaving in a

2:12:06

way where they know that the consequences of those actions can create immense amounts of death and disease

2:12:13

um I think those people are few but but they exist and they can get into power and they can convince others to do the

2:12:20

same and they they have ways of rationalizing the psychology I think there are many people complicit in that

2:12:25

system that don't go home at night I think they go home at night thinking they're part of a functioning system

2:12:31

that is directed towards good objectives and and and I think that's another lesson

2:12:37

that we've learned that I think I think for many people like for instance I'll give you an example I testified in um

2:12:43

the Wisconsin State Legislature um with a health a medical Freedom group

2:12:50

that was really concerned because the Wisconsin State Department of Health

2:12:56

wanted to mandate the meningococcal vaccine as well as the chickenpox vaccine

2:13:03

um and we can skip the science on how just insanely terrible just the science to support

2:13:09

those mandates just on a mandate alone I'm sorry there's just no I'm done with many so anyway I was testifying

2:13:16

and luckily for the first time or not not the first time because Senator Johnson's committees were friendly to me

2:13:22

but this was a friendly committee they actually knew my work they knew my organization and the chairman of the

2:13:27

committee really wanted to hear from me and the point is this is that they let me speak quite freely and I I kind of

2:13:33

almost talked a little bit about what we've talked about in this conversation I just said like what I've come to learn

2:13:39

in covid and the things that I've learned and and then I described the Unholy Alliance that you and I started

2:13:45

you know this podcast with and and when I when I sketched out that Unholy Alliance there was this one Democratic

2:13:52

member leading Democratic member and when it came time for her to ask questions Brett you could totally see

2:13:59

that I had like you know it was way too much for her she's like yeah you know Dr core you're describing this Grand

2:14:07

conspiracy between these and like she could not get it and what was

2:14:13

interesting is so and she said so all of these people

2:14:18

you know you're describing so you're saying all of the doctors and all of the journalists and you know she she

2:14:25

basically wanted she couldn't understand she basically she couldn't believe

2:14:30

the system or the world that I was describing and she couldn't get her head around and you know I corrected her I said no

2:14:37

I don't think all those people are evil and as part of the conspiracy I said

2:14:43

they're victims they're victims of of that information I said you know because it isn't that there's all part of us but

2:14:49

the bigger point was that like you know you have to be able to

2:14:55

you have to be able to I guess accept the idea that that this kind of behavior Can Happen and our institutions in

2:15:02

society can behave in this way and I think for many people they're not ready to accept that that they're imp even

2:15:08

after covet their implicit faith and trust in the the pillars and institutions of society have not been

2:15:13

thoroughly shaken and or disruptive to the point that it should be

2:15:19

well you know you raise a very important point it's one I have learned

2:15:26

to be careful not only in how I speak about it but how I think about it and

2:15:33

let me let me convey to you the tool that I think does as good a job as of solving this

2:15:39

problem as anything there are psychopaths out there

2:15:45

and the ones who are both devoid of moral constraints and

2:15:52

insightful about things the Smart Ones do tend to ascend to

2:15:59

um powerful positions yes and it doesn't take very many of them in

2:16:06

such powerful positions to have a wildly disproportionate effect yes but the important part is to realize how much

2:16:14

emergent properties can stand in for actual collusion

2:16:23

um right if you were to teleport onto this planet during the

2:16:29

height of covid and you were to find doctors telling patients

2:16:35

don't take Ivermectin don't consider having it around in case

2:16:41

you're exposed don't take anything early should you have symptoms

2:16:50

do take this experimental therapeutic

2:16:56

irrespective of the accelerated way in which it was tested the small number of

2:17:01

people on the home it was tested right if you saw doctor after doctor

2:17:07

dispensing the same advice you could conclude oh my God these doctors don't

2:17:14

care about their patients but you know that's not true

2:17:20

I know you know that those doctors were in a very an artificially created

2:17:25

impossible bind that they couldn't solve and many of them did a poor job with the

2:17:31

puzzle they were handed but it wasn't that these people didn't go into

2:17:37

medicine for the right reasons or were cryptically sociopathic and correct you

2:17:42

know none of those things were true the fact is something rearranged their incentives so they

2:17:48

behaved on behalf of something that was de facto sociopathic yes and so what I

2:17:56

do what I would encourage you to do and what I think we need to say to people like the person who questioned you on

2:18:03

this panel is that we don't know

2:18:09

the degree to which there is conspiratorial action we'd be fools to think it was Zero

2:18:16

but it could in fact be the minor fraction of a system in which people responding

2:18:22

to their incentives do the bidding of something evil without being evil themselves and

2:18:29

this is something people have known right the banality of evil that concept is about the idea that

2:18:37

normal people who aren't bad can be dragged into Very Bad Things without the awareness that that has happened I I

2:18:44

think your point is really well taken and I I would say that I agree with it and and

2:18:51

you're right I mean the banality and good people are capable

2:18:56

of participating in Acts uh either unknowingly

2:19:01

um that really uh bring about great great harm and um you know one of the

2:19:07

thoughts I had in listening to what you just said you know those doctors right who are put in this

2:19:12

really difficult position I I would think that there was almost a psychological incentive

2:19:20

to believe the vaccines were safe and effective right so so I mean if you want

2:19:26

to start questioning and looking at the data around vaccines you you're going to make things quite difficult for yourself

2:19:31

meaning intellectually morally philosophic right I mean so it's almost

2:19:36

I mean that system that train was barreling down that track right if you want to stay on the train you know

2:19:44

I think I think there I think there was some sort of site you know you you want to believe that the system is behaving

2:19:50

correctly that the experts are giving good advice and so don't question the advice because you're

2:19:57

going to find out stuff about the system and your role in it that's going to be very uncomfortable

2:20:03

and I think actually if I look back at what I saw it matches it matches your

2:20:10

description and it matches the following pattern doctors are told

2:20:17

we have safe and effective vaccines they're the way out of this frightening pandemic

2:20:23

um the doctors know safe and effective can't mean totally

2:20:31

safe and they're probably not fully effective but the doctors initially just as you

2:20:38

and I didn't initially see how far off the portrayed picture was from what

2:20:45

turned out to be the doctors all privately

2:20:51

said to themselves you know every vaccine causes casualties right but it's going

2:21:00

to be a small number relative to the good that will be done covet is tearing the world apart and so what they did is

2:21:08

they held their tongue about the discrepancy between the claim that these things were totally safe and what they

2:21:15

privately believed about the level of safety which was tolerably safe at the point that it became clear

2:21:21

that they were not remotely tolerably safe and that they were being given to people who had no reason to be exposed

2:21:27

to any risk at all they had already started lying

2:21:33

on behalf of the system they thought they were telling a white lie yes in fact they were telling a gargantuan lie

2:21:39

much bigger than they would have contemplated had they had the full picture to begin with but at the point

2:21:45

that you've done that at the point that you've done the bidding of Pharma he had uh cost to your Patients health

2:21:54

and then you start to get the sense of what might be lurking in the evidence

2:22:02

the instinct not to look at it has got to be quite strong because you don't

2:22:08

want to know what you've been dragged into there's no question and that also you know what we just talked about

2:22:15

that really also explains the inability to talk about or treat

Treatment of V injury

2:22:25

vaccine injury I mean look look at where we are with that threat so you have academic medical centers around the

2:22:31

country many of them created what they call Long coveted clinics

2:22:36

and they're absolutely useless it's endless referrals and testing and unfortunately the the Diagnostics around

2:22:43

um that guide therapies for these two the testing is not very helpful but so

2:22:49

patients get a lot of tests a lot of referrals there's no treatments right there's no guidelines yet I'm sure that's a market that they're going to

2:22:54

explore actually I do know that right because the the 1.2 billion that the government has already devoted to research into long covet

2:23:01

um uh they apparently there's five trials that they've set up only one is ready to uh enroll they haven't enrolled

2:23:07

the patient yet so there's only one trial and um wait for it Brett do you know what they're going to study

2:23:24

I guess we just maybe discovered the last little piece of my naive tank yeah

2:23:30

but but specialist intrigue in tree and coveted

2:23:35

vaccine injury um you know my patients come to me after journeying through the system and and

2:23:42

you know I would say the climate has changed but in the beginning those early vaccine injured what they went through

2:23:49

in terms of gaslighting and abandonment and outright like I mean I have stories

2:23:55

from my patients where literally when they tried to talk to the doctor about the vaccine injury the doctors I think

2:24:02

it created such like I think it was very disturbing for doctors because they didn't want to

2:24:07

recognize that now people are showing up with these severe chronic disabilities

2:24:13

and abilities and and they actively fought against like I remember one patient told me a story that when she

2:24:20

was signing out of a doctor's office at the reception desk the doctor actually came out there and told the patient you

2:24:27

don't need to schedule the follow-up essentially telling the patient don't come back and and not only that so doctors were

2:24:35

not writing vaccine injury in the chart for a long time and you remember I mean the political climate and the victimization around vaccine I mean that

2:24:41

the height of the Psychopathology around vaccines was I mean I will never forget that where we

2:24:48

what was happening in society and now it's better now it's better now I think vaccine injury can be discussed from

2:24:54

what I know from doctors on the inside it is written in charts um people doctors are now talking to

2:25:01

patients a little bit more now they don't know how to treat it um you know because again that that's the other consequence Brad you know we

2:25:08

talked about autonomy and that tight control and coercion um it's really scary because this novel

2:25:14

disease of long covet and vaccine injury is really complex there's a number of pathophysiologic mechanisms there's a

2:25:20

whole host of potential therapies and I am learning as I go I'm my protocol is

2:25:25

ever shifting and how I approach these patients but picture a doctor in the

2:25:30

system right now who employed by some major health system who a vaccine

2:25:36

injured patient comes maybe they know our work you know and and our protocol in the flocc website

2:25:42

um and let's say they want to try to employ you know they have a patient before them who's suffering and they're like you

2:25:48

know well there's this group who's done this work and they've put out this this guide and maybe we can try a few things

2:25:53

like that for instance I ever met in his Pro is the most effective drug I've found in those two

2:25:59

Center doesn't work for everybody and in some people the the benefits are modest

2:26:05

um but far and away it's the most successful um I would say 70 of my patients with long covid and vaccine injury

2:26:11

um are Ivermectin responders I'd say 70. and again the responses vary but that

2:26:16

that's the other implication that's the other consequences of of that change to the system is those doctors are waiting

2:26:23

for a guideline they're waiting for a society and by the way there's no Society statements there's no review

2:26:28

papers on vaccine it's literally verboten the only people talking about are like organizations like myself and

2:26:34

and similar organizations and so you know the the implications are you know

2:26:39

but we were talking about like the psychology of the doctor and I think that fueled the the literally and I

2:26:45

heard you know descriptions of you could see doctors who were having a really tough time in incontinencing and and

2:26:54

being able to see a vaccine per injured person for what they were which is they were a

2:26:59

consequence of that same doctor's behaviors well um

2:27:05

that's certainly true the

2:27:11

I'm struggling there's a couple directions to go from here Heather and I did an episode a couple weeks back

2:27:17

in which we actually looked at the Nuremberg code itself and found that the coveted response

2:27:25

appears to have violated not just informed consent a double violation because people were ill-informed as a

2:27:32

result of propaganda and they were mandated and coerced so it wasn't consent

2:27:37

but every single subprovision seems to have been violated too and not

2:27:43

in an in obvious way it's glaring when you look at it and so

2:27:50

the one of the provisions involves

2:27:55

mental anguish and I I must say that there are a lot of

2:28:01

facts if you paid attention to how the pandemic unfolded in the public health

2:28:07

response and the coup against medicine and all of these things there are these anomalies right just very strange facts

2:28:15

that are very hard to reconcile with any normal process the most glaring of them I think the one

2:28:22

that I Stumble over uh most forcefully is

2:28:28

the gaslighting of the vaccine injured because these people without exception

2:28:36

are people who did what they were told they were supposed to do and so the idea that if you were telling

2:28:43

people you're morally bad if you don't follow our advice and you don't get yourself so-called vaccinated with these

2:28:48

so-called vaccines and then somebody gets hurt even if the

2:28:54

vaccines had turned out to be very safe

2:28:59

which they did not but if they had and these injuries were vanishingly rare

2:29:06

we should be dealing with the people who have these injuries with nothing but compassion right right these were people

2:29:12

who were trying to do the right thing you can't you know the idea that these

2:29:17

are anti-vaxxers is laughable these are people who got hurt because they took the vaccine and whatever to the extent that the

2:29:26

argument was that the vaccines are our Collective responsibility in order to get us out of

2:29:33

covid which was nonsense from the get-go because they didn't block transmission or contraction and therefore couldn't be

2:29:39

an effective tool against the pandemic but to the extent that people accepted the argument that what they were doing

2:29:45

was taking a small risk on behalf of the team it is then our Collective responsibility

2:29:52

to take as good care of them as can be done in light of the fact that they got on

2:30:00

luck that you right that would be I think that situation is correct I think that

2:30:05

would have been the what you would think is the Humane and ethical and appropriate response of a physician to

2:30:11

an unfortunate rare you know supposedly rare but let me ask you a question so

2:30:17

what do you so maybe I'm interrupting so that you're saying that would have been the correct

2:30:23

and Humane response absolutely natural consequence of the

2:30:29

[_] argument that was fed to us or rammed down our throats right that you

2:30:34

have an obligation to get these things as a member of the team right so that means that to the extent

2:30:41

that Our obligation as members of the team came up bad for a small number of people because of bad luck then those

2:30:47

harms belong to all of us right but let me ask you a question Brett the fact is you're you just use the hypothetical

2:30:54

example of if they had been safe and just leaving a very tiny number but the

2:31:00

reality was that those numbers are massive so the fact that that's not what

2:31:07

happened do you think it was the massive numbers that that somehow influenced the
2:31:12

the the the treatment and and how they were treated by doctors nope I think

2:31:18

that we are again Downstream of an industrial strength propaganda campaign

2:31:26

whose purpose is not anybody's well-being it is the

2:31:34

I don't know the uh shareholder value of the corporations that produce these

2:31:39

things and any level of propaganda and lying and Distortion that enhances the

2:31:46

position of these corporations uh is tolerable to them for some reason but I guess my point is an average

2:31:52

person who bought the story ought to now look

2:31:58

at the way the vaccine injured have been treated and that ought to be enough to

2:32:04

wake them up completely right if you are somebody who said oh these vaccines are safe what does that mean perfectly safe

2:32:10

yes perfectly safe well everybody knows they weren't perfectly safe yeah so that means there are some

2:32:16

vaccine injured people where is the place where these people are being compassionately embraced by the system

2:32:22

where we are trying to understand these very rare injuries and what might be done to alleviate them doesn't exist why

2:32:30

because what exists in its place is a propaganda campaign of its own that

2:32:38

adds insult to injuries you are psychologically torturing these injured

2:32:45

people who were trying to do their part on behalf of a team because you told

2:32:50

them that's what they had to do so my point is that is that is a sick system that would tell people this was

2:32:58

their obligation as a member of a team and then when it didn't work out pretends that they're not sick

2:33:04

right it's diabolical it's diaper and you know one of the phrases I keep using is it just seems like the world has gone

World gone made through censorship and propaganda

2:33:12

mad and and it's I want to refer that you know the worldwide man and coven all these

2:33:18

behaviors that we're talking about and I agree with you I attribute the madness

2:33:23

that has descended upon all this is from unrelenting propaganda and censorship we've been sickened by the

2:33:32

information that that we need in order to to live and live correctly and and in

2:33:39

harmony right and and yet we're pro the information is in Poison we started talking like at the level of the

2:33:45

journals and all of this and you know that you brought up another point which is the you know it was so bad the

2:33:52

propaganda and censorship supporting this vaccine campaign was so horrible

2:33:57

and Powerful that you saw this phenomenon because you kind of touched on it where

2:34:05

anyone close to the unvaccinated I would call them vaccine adjacent

2:34:10

were attacked and the one example are people who got vaccinated and tried to

2:34:18

speak up about their injuries they were literally immediately lumped in with the

2:34:24

you know the ire and attacks were directed at them and you know for me one of the most memorable and heartbreaking

2:34:31

examples I don't know you've heard of this case but there was a a professional mountain bike racer um Kyle I can't

2:34:37

remember his last name but he filmed himself in his car one day talking about how his career was over he

2:34:45

was really sick and he was crying because he had been trying to talk about his

2:34:50

injury not only for help but also I guess to tell others and he couldn't believe the amount of people attacking

2:34:58

him and the amount of anger and ferocity directed at him for him just trying to

2:35:04

talk about his experience and you know that's how bad that got you know any you know people who got

2:35:11

vaccinated were being attacked well it's I have uh become somewhat Infamous for

2:35:19

the catchphrase it's worse than that but it's worse than that because

2:35:26

on the one hand you've got this mountain biker and I I don't remember his name but I do remember the case

2:35:32

who speaks out about just a fact of his life he was a mountain biker now what is he

2:35:37

right he doesn't know he's understandably broken up about that and what's more you know this has

2:35:44

implications for his longevity and you know it's it's a tragic case and it's it

2:35:51

is an Exemplar of a pattern that is much more widespread but the other thing you

2:35:56

probably saw RAV Aurora's recent piece about a

2:36:03

um a journalist I think an editor at a publication and the publication was

2:36:09

decidedly uh enthusiastic about vaccines and mandates

2:36:15

and the uh the editor

2:36:21

had a severe vaccine injury an immediate one right and these things get much more difficult

2:36:27

for us to Grapple with when they're delayed right a delayed cancer for example

2:36:33

the room to pretend that it's not Downstream or the room for there to be statistical noise or whatever but this

2:36:40

was a case where somebody had a uh an immediate and intense uh adverse event

2:36:48

um that was cardiac in nature it was myocarditis I believe and anyway the point is that person has

2:36:56

apparently still not come forward and the publication that they worked for hasn't acknowledged

2:37:02

that it was championing these vaccines and then right on their doorstep

2:37:07

had an event that ought to have caused them to think hey wait a minute that

2:37:12

seems pretty unlikely if these events are vanishingly rare that one of our own would have had it maybe we should look

2:37:18

into how widespread they are so anyway my point is our impression in the public of how

2:37:25

common these events are is Downstream of a campaign to punish

2:37:32

and intimidate people who've had these events so that they will talk about them as little as possible or not at all

2:37:39

which leaves the false impression that they were much safer than they actually were

yeah I mean I also think Brett you

2:37:46

know when you bring up the example of that editor in that outlet or whatever news source that was

2:37:51

um you know not talking about it that that seems very governmental and Military

2:37:58

censor I mean it seems like everyone got the memo in the media Thou shalt not speak ill of these vaccines I mean with

2:38:06

very few exceptions uh most media sources never even

2:38:12

brought up the possibility that these vaccines weren't safe and and you know

2:38:17

the the most the most absurd and dystopian are the

2:38:23

thousands and thousands of reports of young people dropping dead

2:38:29

athletes broadcasters on television comedians on

2:38:35

stage I mean all walks of life many times caught on camera young healthy

2:38:40

people out doing like once you're outside doing an activity by the way it's a pretty good comment on your

2:38:46

underlying health okay like I mean sick people are not doing what these people do particularly athletes and so you have

2:38:53

these and then the ages you know I am an expert in sudden cardiac death it has an

2:38:59

ICU specialist that was one of the the patient populations I had to take care of those that survived CPR and it's

2:39:08

it's it's so incredibly rare for young people to drop dead

2:39:15

and you have Legions of newspaper reports report after report after report

2:39:21

after report of no anteceding event and the vaccine is never mentioned never

2:39:28

once mentioned as a possibility and the consistency in in the

2:39:35

consistency under which that is true you know and I used to read those reports I literally would skim them to see if the

2:39:42

journalist writing about this tragic and Unexpected death of a young for a high schooler you know whatever the case is

2:39:49

you know I was just waiting to see if they would just you know unclear cause

2:39:57

maybe you know could it be the vaccines or there's no mention in any of those

2:40:02

articles that's a vaccine it's just a normal rare occurrence now

2:40:09

I'm glad you bring this up uh I've done a lot of thinking about it

2:40:17

the problem here I believe is also obviously Downstream of the same

2:40:23

propaganda campaign we've been talking about yeah the problem is these events are

2:40:30

extremely rare naturally the vigilance about finding these events

2:40:38

and pointing to them is now unusually high right so to the extent that this happens

2:40:45

very rarely and you generally don't hear about it but now it broadcasts an

2:40:50

individual anomalous death broadcast to a much wider audience one has to ask the

2:40:56

question is what I'm seeing an artifact of sampling a much larger population

2:41:04

now I don't believe it could be and you as an ICU specialist

2:41:09

are in a much better position to say that you believe the level of this is

2:41:15

unusual however here's where I think this goes we have to be cautious because there
2:41:21

will be deaths that we hear about that contribute to our sense that vaccines have
created a

2:41:29

lot of injuries in young people that actually are just anomalies they may be some other
environment environmental

2:41:36

exposure to something novel but maybe it's not the vaccine but here's here's the the
important

2:41:42

point this isn't a tough question to study no right this is what science does

2:41:49

and if we were really interested in the question of well is there an anomalous pattern of
young

2:41:55

people dropping dead there is if there's that pattern and it is

2:42:01

Downstream of the vaccines we can find out if we want to and what we seem to be
doing is avoiding finding out that's

2:42:09

absolutely correct but let me speak to that Brad because in in my mind that question
has been soundly answered

2:42:18

with data and interestingly or maybe unsurprisingly it doesn't come out of

2:42:24

the medical sciences that data that data is coming out of the life insurance industry

2:42:30

and Ed Dowd's work on this with his team I mean when you hear these numbers I

2:42:35

mean and and how that story broke and how that data came out was really interesting
you know there was a

2:42:41

newspaper article I can't time it it might it's probably over a year ago

2:42:46

where a CEO of a life insurance company won America was apparently talking to a

2:42:52

chamber of commerce like it was just a meeting at a Chamber of Commerce and he lets
it slip that they saw I think it

2:43:01

was either 38 or 40 percent rise in death claims in the age group from 18 to

2:43:07

64. from 2020 to 2021 so in one year and he

2:43:14

said in that same meeting that in his business a 10 rise in mortality in that

2:43:20

age group from one year to the next would be a statistically a one in two hundred year event that's how rare 10 is

2:43:28

and here he is telling a group of folks that this

2:43:34

hundred billion dollar million dollar company is saw 38 rise and the timing of

2:43:39

the rise perfectly matches the vaccine rolled out um that you know the data showing how

2:43:48

many people have law we've lost from the workforce actually from Death is truly alarming

2:43:53

and so it's like we already know I'm Sorry Brad I mean for me those vaccines are a humanitarian catastrophe they've

2:44:00

caused hundreds of thousands of deaths and you're right though we we don't

2:44:05

no one's talking about it well look I don't disagree with you

2:44:11

about what the most parsimonious interpretation of the evidence that I've seen is I think it's quite clear but the

2:44:18

point I'm trying to make is to the extent there are many people out there who still don't believe there is a

2:44:25

pattern there ought to be an obsession with doing the most

2:44:32

deliberate and careful study possible to discover the nature of that pattern

2:44:38

right we're still giving these shots to people

2:44:44

I can't understand that we're still giving these shots to young people right that couldn't be a more medically

2:44:51

irresponsible thing to do if it tried healthy young people why would you give them a shot for a

2:44:59

disease that does not put them at substantial risk so anyway I'm not doubting yeah I'm not

2:45:07

doubting the evidence from the insurance industry what I'm doubting is our ability

2:45:13

to look at the reports of sudden death in people and to know the contribution

2:45:21

of vaccines versus the contribution of the level of Interest yeah and I

2:45:27

appreciate that point I mean that you're right anybody should be asking the question

2:45:33

yep we should be asking a question and like we ask lots of questions in science

2:45:38

we have ways of answering it and there's a process in which to do it and it's not being done we have entire institutions

2:45:46

full of people who trained to be able to answer such questions and we are not

2:45:51

pointing them at this one nope which is shocking nope all right so I want to uh we're gonna

2:45:58

wrap up here soon I have two points I want to get on the

System outsiders

2:46:03

table and get your reaction to before we do that great one of them is just an interesting

2:46:11

pattern okay you now find yourself in private practice you were a

2:46:18

a hospital a teaching Hospital doc an academic you now find yourself in

2:46:24

private practice strangely liberated to think about therapy as you wouldn't have considered before to retrain yourself

2:46:30

free from the influence of Administrators and standards and all of that which it turns

2:46:37

out we're much more destructive than you had known that's fascinating Heather and I have seen the same pattern

2:46:44

as um Outsiders to Academia now free to think

2:46:52

I mean we thought independently before and that's probably why we got kicked out but um but nonetheless now uh the

2:47:00

thing that threatens us are you know Anonymous Tech Giants that don't like us

2:47:06

speaking out of turn because it's very inconvenient to have evolutionary biology phds

2:47:12

uh calling [_] on epidemiologists and vaccinologists right inconvenience

2:47:18

so you know Tech is now trying to exert its influence but nonetheless outside of the system we have a degree

2:47:25

of Freedom that is uh anomalous you mentioned at the top of the podcast

2:47:31

that um our mainstream media sources are

2:47:36

owned by a tiny number of Corporations which artificially synchronizes their

2:47:42

viewpoint on the other hand their ability to Garner audience has

2:47:48

plummeted and that ought hasn't gone away it's migrated to this unregulated

2:47:54

uh low production value landscape

2:48:00

of podcasts and man caves and you know uh you know doing your own news

2:48:07

broadcast from your living room or whatever and the point is that's not really the right place to be doing it

2:48:14

much as the right place for you to be doing what you do Doc is in a hospital in which you know yeah the the system

2:48:22

and the equipment and all of that is already there and and the system for getting it paid for and all is built the

2:48:28

right place to study science and to talk about it is not podcasts universities and they exist but we're outside of them

2:48:35

anyway my point is the structures are now a good proxy for

2:48:42

the corruption yeah right you can look at the University buildings and think oh they're very pretty it's interesting

2:48:48

that science doesn't work in there anymore right you can go to CNN and you

2:48:54

can say oh that looks like a a humming Newsroom there that looks like a great place for them to figure out what the

2:49:00

hell is going on and to tell the public but that's not what they do no right you know that is more likely to happen uh

2:49:06

you know in Joe Rogan's Studio yep so anyway everybody's doing the job that they're supposed to be doing to the

2:49:12

extent that those of us who care about these jobs are still doing them we're doing them in places that don't make

2:49:18

sense right we've been it's like a whole civilization in Exile right where yes uh good point

2:49:26

yeah and it's uh anyway I I guess it just it's hopeful to me because the point is it isn't like they snuffed us

2:49:33

out they just uh sent us free yeah and we're supposed to Source our own equipment yeah no I I

2:49:42

agree with that I mean um I've sometimes made jokes that have you know I've been excommunicated from medicine

2:49:48

um and um and you're right we are sort of Exiles but you know it's an interesting dynamic because our numbers

2:49:55

are growing you know I mean you and I fit I mean you before me I mean your career your academic career literally

2:50:01

ended and you were excommunicated and yeah so we really were exiled but I

2:50:07

think that the audience the the average citizen you know they are coming to to

2:50:13

listen more to people like you and me and you know yeah it's it's

2:50:21

I I have hope because that's the answer right and I like I love that you just said like this the structures are

2:50:26

synonymous with the or emblematic of the corruption right I mean you look at a university you look at a newsroom like

2:50:32

that's literally a propaganda uh you know headquarters that's where they create the day's narratives right and so

2:50:38

and and and for people to believe in those structures and institutions

2:50:44

um it gives me hope because really when the system's been

2:50:50

captured we need a parallel system and and that's why we kind of touched on the we want Private Practice to continue and

2:50:56

we we need Freedom we need we need a space to freely discuss things and you need people who are free to

2:51:03

tell the news like it really is you know and what's really happening in the world and and um

2:51:09

I'm happy to see that's happening yeah I think uh and maybe we'll just

2:51:14

leave it here but I think the message of it is the

2:51:19

power that was brought to bear to Stamp Out

2:51:26

our ability to do a scientific analysis to

2:51:31

reach an audience and tell them what we thought to practice responsible medicine to respond to

2:51:39

emerging patterns and sort through them the power that was brought to bear was

2:51:45

absolutely industrial strength military grade it

2:51:51

was ferocious and the degree to which it failed to

2:51:57

Stamp Out the actual discussion uh is I think remarkable

2:52:05

and quite hopeful in other words I do think the small

2:52:11

collection of dissidents that did find their way to speak out

2:52:17

about the absurdity of our coveted response

2:52:22

upended the negative The Narrative and and most you know most people are not awake right

2:52:30

but many people are at least somewhat awake yeah and that is

2:52:37

that's a very hopeful sign it's very hard to Stamp Out apparently and hopefully only more we will see more

2:52:43

wake up over time yeah my the point I would add to that is you're right I mean that industrial

2:52:49

strength and trying to Snuff it out they did not succeed number one and I think

2:52:55

the brazenness and overreach of their attempts

2:53:00

um might even turn in backfire on them because of the amount of people that

2:53:05

they've awakened I mean Brett I don't know that I would have woken up if they hadn't done this much you know so much

2:53:11

Breeze and departures from science and pragmatism that that had to make me question why is

2:53:17

this happening who is doing this and why would they possibly do this I mean that that will that woke me up and and I and

2:53:25

so many people and so I I think armed with that new knowledge it'd be interesting to see where the future is

2:53:32

yep I agree with you and I think everybody has that moment

2:53:38

where they see something that they just can't unsee yeah and for many

2:53:45

of us it was covid yep and uh our you know our numbers are growing and I think

2:53:52

it's time to string the bow yes love it great way to agree way to end but Brett

Wrap up

2:54:00

great way to all right uh Dr Pierre Corey um it has been a real pleasure

2:54:07

your book is it it is available already yeah it's in bookstores and on Amazon

2:54:13

and I just heard from a friend that they went to buy a book and it was sold out they're sold out that Santa Barbara saws

2:54:20

pretty happy to hear that news that's great news uh the title of the book is the war on Ivermectin yes the war on

2:54:28

Ivermectin um for people and there will be many who

2:54:33

have heard you on Dark Horse and realize that they might need your help for

2:54:39

either long covered or a vaccine injury or just simply to get

2:54:44

medical care that is out from under the thumb of Pharma and fouching

2:54:50

um where do they find you yeah we'd also take care of general medicine patients you know we're happy to support you with

2:54:55

uh non-conflicted guidance and that's it uh the easiest is Dr Pierre corey.com Dr

2:55:02

pierrecorey.com um or just look up my name with the Leading Edge Clinic if you just look up

2:55:09

my name it's gonna be hard to find like because there's a lot of other stuff that comes up Fred

2:55:14

yeah there's a lot of stuff that comes up and I must say I have Googled uh your

2:55:20

name and Uh something's got its thumb on the scales so anyway yeah

2:55:27

um the uh the URL was Dr k-o-r-y No that

2:55:37

d-r-p-i-e-r-r-e-k-o-r-y.com so Dr pierrecordy.com Dr pierrecory.com all

2:55:42

right the war on IRA mechan this has been an excellent conversation I'm already looking forward to our next one

2:55:48

uh best of luck with the book and um

2:55:54

anyway thank you for uh for doing this right all I can say is you know thank you for your support

2:56:00

for your audience I I love the conversation and and really thank you for your Brotherhood in in this journey it's been

2:56:08

um it's been rough yeah it has been rough well uh it's been

2:56:14

an honor to fight shoulder shoulder definitely definitely thanks ciao ciao

2:56:33

[Music]

English (auto-generated)

AllFrom Bret WeinsteinPodcastsRelatedListenableRecently uploadedWatched